ISSN 2959-6122

The Spectrum of Thought: Unveiling the Richness of Mathematical Pluralism

Wenjie Ye[1, *]

[1]Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles Street Baltimore, MD 21218, United States.
*Corresponding author: wye16@jh.edu

Abstract:

This paper embarks on a thorough journey through mathematical pluralism, examining its philosophical underpinnings, theoretical landscapes, and practical implications. This analysis starkly contrasts the monolithic portrayal of mathematics as an unchanging, universally applicable discipline, instead proposing a vision of mathematics as a mosaic of valid yet diverse frameworks. By dissecting the contributions of innovative scholars such as Michèle Friend, Graham Priest, and Stewart Shapiro and comparing foundational concepts such as set theory with category theory, this paper illuminates the diverse perspectives and approaches that enrich the mathematical landscape. It demonstrates how a pluralistic perspective on mathematics enhances our understanding of the field and compels us to reevaluate our core assumptions about knowledge, truth, and the nature of mathematical inquiry. This paper explores the effects of embracing a pluralistic viewpoint across different areas, calling for a more inclusive, adaptable, and interdisciplinary approach to mathematics education and research. Acknowledging the value of a diversity of mathematical approaches and theories paves the way for a future in which mathematics continues to evolve as a complex and vibrant discipline, mirroring the intricacies of the world it aims to decipher. This investigation serves as a celebration of the human capacity for intellectual pursuit, emphasizing the immense possibilities that arise from fostering a culture of plurality in mathematics and beyond.

Keywords: Mathematical Pluralism; Mathematics; Philosophy.

1. Introduction

In philosophy, necessity pertains to the essential or inevitable existence or truth of something. This idea closely aligns with the mathematical principle of a hypothesis, which is a foundational assertion accepted without proof, serving as the groundwork for further reasoning. The inception of hypotheses can be traced back to the work of ancient Greek mathematicians, notably Euclid‘s geometric postulates. These foundational elements have been formalized in contemporary mathematics, positioning mathematical theories as objects within the discipline, thereby framing mathematics as a subset of formal logic. This categorization has led to exploring numerous significant concepts, including axiom-like foundations subjected to extensive study. However, the emergence of mathematical pluralism introduces a nuanced view that diverges from the singularity of traditional mathematical foundations. This concept suggests multiple legitimate mathematical frameworks or „universes," each with axioms and truths. Such a stance challenges the monolithic view of mathematics as a linear, singular pursuit, instead proposing a landscape where diverse mathematical theories coexist. This pluralism reflects a broader philosophical acceptance that mathematics can embody various foundational principles without necessitating a hierarchical or exclusive structure, akin to the diversity seen in formal and informal logic.

This pivot towards mathematical pluralism ignites a fascinating philosophical discourse on the very nature, architecture, and aim of mathematics. It pushes beyond established limits, inviting deep reflections on mathematical truths, the ontology of mathematical entities, and the methodologies of constructing and validating mathematical theories. Through pluralism‘s prism, mathematics is revealed not as a singular monolith but as a complex mosaic of varying and sometimes conflicting intellectual landscapes. Each landscape offers unique perspectives, advocating that mathematics‘ true power lies in its varied nature rather than a uniform identity. This shift mirrors wider philosophical discussions on reality, truth, and epistemology, placing mathematics at a pivotal point of convergence between conceptual thinking and empirical investigation.

The advent of pluralism within mathematical discourse upends the traditional belief in a singular, universally accessible mathematical truth, advocating instead for various approaches to understanding mathematics. This perspective does not detract from the discipline‘s rigor or precision but broadens its reach and enriches its complexity, acknowledging the significance of diverse mathematical traditions, histories, and philosophical underpinnings. Pluralism fosters a more comprehensive and inclusive view of mathematics by embracing a plurality of mathematical foundations and acknowledging various methods of acquiring and applying mathematical knowledge. It prompts a reevaluation of pedagogical, scholarly, and practical engagements with mathematics, championing a discipline as multifaceted and dynamic as the reality it aspires to model.

As discussions around mathematical pluralism evolve, the challenges and critiques it encounters are not mere hurdles but portals to deeper insight and exploration. Engaging with the contributions of thinkers like Penrose and Shapiro, who explore mathematics‘ interplay with other fields, enriches the pluralism discourse, offering fresh lenses to view mathematics‘ relation to the broader spectrum of human experience. This ongoing conversation deepens our understanding of mathematical pluralism and underscores its potential to reshape our interactions with and perceptions of mathematics in the modern era.

2. Theoretical Framework

Mathematical pluralism is a philosophy that acknowledges the coexistence of multiple legitimate mathematical frameworks, contrasting with the traditional view that there‘s a singular, universal mathematics. In her work Pluralism in Mathematics: A New Position in Philosophy of Mathematics, Michèle Friend defines it as the philosophical stance that acknowledges and embraces multiple valid approaches, methods, and theories within mathematics. This perspective recognizes that diverse mathematical frameworks can coexist harmoniously, each offering valuable insights and contributing to the richness and complexity of mathematical knowledge. Mathematical pluralism emphasizes the acceptance of different mathematical viewpoints and the recognition of the legitimacy of various mathematical practices, highlighting the diversity and multiplicity inherent in mathematical reasoning and inquiry. Importantly, this acceptance and validation of multiple perspectives set the stage for exploring how logical structures can embody and reflect such pluralism.

Graham Priest’s exploration of dialetheism, the acceptance of true contradictions, and his studies on paradoxes serve as a critical foundation for comprehending the logical dimensions of mathematical pluralism and provide a foundation for understanding the logical aspects of mathematical pluralism [16, 17]. By arguing that contradictions can exist coherently within a logical system, Priest disputes the traditional quest for a unified, contradiction-free mathematical foundation, advocating for a pluralistic logic where multiple, equally valid logical systems coexist harmoniously. This approach suggests mathematics as a vibrant collection of theories and systems, each defined by its realm of applicability and set of truth criteria, thus challenging the monolithic view of mathematics and aligning with the principles of mathematical pluralism [15].

Michèle Friend’s contributions further illuminate the philosophical dimensions of mathematical pluralism. In “Pluralism and ‘Bad’ Mathematical Theories: Challenging our Prejudices,” her advocacy for a pluralistic understanding of mathematics reflects a commitment to recognizing the validity and utility of diverse mathematical theories, even those that may initially appear as “bad” or unconventional, that is, mathematical theories that are conventionally considered as less valid, incorrect, or unconventional from the standpoint of mainstream mathematical practice [9]. In “Embracing the Crisis in the Foundations of Mathematics,” she argues that embracing the diversity of mathematical foundations enhances our understanding and application of mathematics. By acknowledging that there are no absolute mathematical truths but rather truths relative to a theory, Friend echoes and expands upon Priest‘s logic-based arguments, promoting a broader acceptance of pluralism across the mathematical and philosophical communities [7, 12].

Exploring these pioneering perspectives reveals a strong argument for integrating and recognizing diverse and occasionally contradictory mathematical theories within a unified framework, representing a notable shift away from traditional mathematical monism. This movement towards embracing logical diversity and conducting a wider philosophical investigation of mathematics paves the way for fresh inquiries into the discipline‘s core, underpinnings, and broader impacts. Such an approach positions the philosophy of mathematics as a rich area for both in-depth exploration and the validation of mathematical pluralism.

In Thinking About Mathematics: the Philosophy of Mathematics, Stewart Shapiro provides a nuanced discussion on the nature of mathematical structures, laying a foundational stone for mathematical pluralism. Shapiro articulates, “a mathematician is interested in the internal relations of the places of these structures.” He defines a structure as “the abstract form of a system, highlighting the interrelationships among the objects, and ignoring any features of them that do not affect how they relate to other objects in the system” [18]. By focusing on the study of abstract structures rather than the objects within these structures, Shapiro's structuralist stance inherently supports pluralism, advocating for the coexistence of multiple mathematical theories describing unique structures within the expansive mathematical landscape.

Philosophy of Mathematics: Selected Readings, edited by Benacerraf and Putnam, further enriches this pluralistic view by presenting a wide array of philosophical perspectives on foundational mathematical questions. This collection spans discussions from traditional philosophies like logicism, formalism, and intuitionism to more contemporary debates on mathematical realism and anti-realism. Each perspective contributes to the mosaic of mathematical pluralism by offering different answers to foundational questions, such as the existence and ontology of mathematical objects, the nature of mathematical truth, and the role of mathematical proof. As Benacerraf and Putnam note in their introduction, "theories of truth and knowledge in general philosophy will be shown to be adequate (or inadequate) is by their ability (or inability) to account for mathematical knowledge; and it is only in philosophy of mathematics that one finds searching attempts to apply theories of truth and knowledge to the special case of mathematics" [1]. This highlights the intertwined nature of mathematical philosophy and general philosophical inquiries, suggesting that insights into mathematical knowledge could illuminate broader epistemological and metaphysical issues. This acknowledgment of mathematics' unique epistemological status underscores the pluralistic notion that mathematics can be understood and practiced in myriad, equally valid ways.

3. Manifestations of Pluralism in Mathematics

Investigating mathematical pluralism's intricate landscape showcases a realm where diversity in reasoning and methodology thrives. This exploration covers various topics, from the philosophical bases that drive mathematical exploration to the assorted methodologies and conceptual frameworks applied across various mathematical fields, culminating in a unified narrative. This deep dive uncovers the extent of creativity and innovation within mathematics, illustrating how incorporating varied perspectives leads to a dynamic, interconnected, and enhanced comprehension of the subject. The objective extends beyond merely cataloging the array of thoughts within mathematical pluralism to advocating that such diversity is a cornerstone of strength and vitality for the field.

At the heart of mathematical pluralism is acknowledging the coexistence and legitimacy of multiple mathematical foundations, illustrated vividly by examining foundational systems like set theory and category theory. These systems, with their unique lenses and methodologies for deciphering and structuring mathematical concepts, embody the pluralistic ethos of mathematical practice.

Set theory, introduced by Georg Cantor, provides a universal language for mathematics, enabling the description of mathematical objects and their interrelations. It conceptualizes mathematics in terms of sets and their elements, allowing for the construction of numbers, functions, and even infinite structures. Set theory, with its variety of competing theories like Zermelo-Fraenkel (ZF) and Von Neumann–Bernays–Gödel (NBG), exemplifies the foundational diversity in mathematics. The independence results, such as Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems and the Continuum Hypothesis's independence from ZFC (Zermelo-Fraenkel axioms with the Axiom of Choice), highlight the limitations of any single foundational system, suggesting a necessity for pluralistic approaches. However, exploring different set theories, such as those allowing for the existence of large cardinals or adopting alternative hypotheses (e.g., the Axiom of Determinacy), illustrates pluralism within this foundational approach [18]. Friend also demonstrates that the foundations of mathematics, specifically set theory, are subject to interpretation, extension, and debate, supporting a pluralistic view of mathematics. She articulates this shift by examining the distinction and implications of first-order Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory (ZF1) versus second-order Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory (ZF2), and their respective extensions. She mentioned, "There are rival set theories, but even ‚ZF' is ambiguous… between ZF1, ZF2, ZFC1, and ZFC2, which are different formal theories." This recognition of multiple viable theories within set theory itself challenges the notion of a singular mathematical foundation, paving the way for a pluralistic acceptance of diverse foundational approaches. She further argues, "If we are determined to be monist foundationalists, then we have to disambiguate, choose a unique foundation, and be correct. Someone skeptical that it is always possible, or even desirable, to completely disambiguate and determine one foundation and confer a normative role in mathematics is on the way to becoming more pluralist." The skepticism towards the feasibility and desirability of monist foundationalism catalyzes adopting a more pluralist viewpoint. If one accepts that mathematics cannot, or should not, be confined to a single foundational theory, this acceptance broadens the scope of legitimate mathematical practices and theories. This acknowledgment of multiple valid foundations, each with merits and applications, is a stepping stone toward pluralism [8].

In addition to the foundations of mathematics, pluralism can also be exemplified within mathematical theories. The historical development of non-Euclidean geometry, challenging Euclid‘s axioms and introducing alternative geometry models, is a landmark in promoting mathematical pluralism. Recognizing these diverse geometrical frameworks illustrates mathematical pluralism by demonstrating that there is not one singular „correct“ way to understand space and geometry, reinforcing the idea that multiple, logically consistent systems can coexist, each providing distinct insights into mathematical truths.

Beyond more prevailing mathematical theories, Friend delves into the philosophical underpinnings of mathematical pluralism, particularly through the lens of theories often labeled as “bad” within the mathematical community. She contends that mathematical pluralism embraces the diversity of mathematical theories, including those traditionally viewed unfavorably. Friend highlights how pluralism acknowledges the validity and potential richness of „bad“ mathematics, such as intensional theories, not yet completely formalized theories, paraconsistent mathematics, and even trivial mathematics, stating, “Because of the last two, the pluralist philosopher underpins her philosophy with a paraconsistent logic” [9]. She argues that recognizing the value of these theories, even those that contradict conventional mathematical logic or remain underdeveloped is crucial for a genuinely pluralistic philosophy of mathematics. By its nature, she demonstrates that mathematical pluralism pushes for a broader, more open-minded exploration of mathematical theories and practices.

Besides foundational principles, the intersection of mathematical theories with postmodernism exemplifies pluralism within mathematics and its broader philosophical implications. Vladmir Tasic, in his work *Mathematics and the Roots of Postmodern Thought,* provides an illuminating exploration into how mathematical theories intersect with postmodernism, casting light on their broad cultural and philosophical implications. Through the lens of pluralism, Tasic engages with the pervasive yet often vague antagonism colloquially framed as a battle between “science” and “postmodernism.” As Tasic points out, this difference has seeped into public consciousness, raising pivotal questions about the relationship between mathematics and postmodern thought beyond the confines of academic trends. Tasic explores the historical connections between mathematics and continental philosophy, revealing a common heritage proposing a reconstructive postmodern theory approach enriched by mathematical perspectives. The central investigation emphasizes consideration of Kantian philosophy, focusing on his discussions about synthetic judgments and the innate intuition of space that geometry presupposes. Tasic revisits Kant‘s assertion that the straight-line segment joining two points gives the shortest path. This proposition stirs debate regarding the singular role of Euclidean geometry in shaping human intuition. This dialogue between mathematics and philosophy is further enriched by the historical advent of non-Euclidean geometry, which challenges the once unassailable position of Euclidean principles and introduces a realm rife with interpretation, ambiguity, and the limitations of absolute knowledge. Tasic also contemplates Kant‘s concept of „transcendental illusions“—the indispensable fictions in reasoning that, although lacking empirical verification, are foundational for synthesizing knowledge. This notion resonates deeply with a postmodern critique of truth, language, and constructed realities, advocating for a pluralistic approach to understanding the universe, knowledge, and the very essence of mathematical theories [20].

Furthermore, exploring how mathematical pluralism intersects with the foundational notions of physics unveils a captivating narrative about the universe‘s structure, emphasizing the deep, intrinsic links between the cosmos‘s physical laws and the mathematical truths underpinning our reality. *In The Road to Reality* by Roger Penrose, the intersection of theoretical physics, cosmology, and mathematical pluralism is deeply explored, revealing a profound understanding of the universe‘s underlying mathematical structures. Penrose emphasizes the universality and applicability of these structures, arguing that the physical laws governing our cosmos are not only precise but are deeply intertwined with mathematical truths that transcend pure abstraction. He writes, “The precision of the Sun‘s motion through the sky and its clear relation to the alternation of day with night provided the most obvious example; but also the Sun‘s positioning about the heavenly orb of stars was seen to be closely associated with the change and relentless regularity of the seasons and with the attendant clear-cut influence on the weather and consequently on vegetation and animal behavior” [13]. This passage exemplifies a pluralistic understanding of the universe, highlighting the intrinsic ties between mathematics and the physical world.

4. Implications of Mathematical Pluralism

The implications of mathematical pluralism extend far beyond the boundaries of mathematics itself, impacting a wide range of fields such as philosophy, education, science, and art. This shift from absolutist and uniform approaches promotes innovation in problem-solving and theoretical exploration. In philosophy, the variety of mathematical perspectives fosters deep discussions about the nature of truth, knowledge, and reality, mirroring larger inquiries into human comprehension and the universe‘s essence. Furthermore, this diversity influences educational strategies, transforming how subjects are taught and understood, and enriches scientific research and interpretations of artistic endeavors. A closer examination of mathematical pluralism‘s consequences underscores its crucial contribution to broadening intellectual horizons and encouraging dialogue across various disciplines.

The concept of mathematical pluralism significantly influences the landscape of mathematics in terms of development, teaching, and understanding. Scholars argue that pluralism catalyzes mathematical innovation by legitimizing alternative problem-solving strategies, which can lead to breakthroughs in research and theory development [6]. Furthermore, incorporating pluralistic approaches into the mathematics curriculum enhances students‘ comprehension and appreciation of the subject, fostering a more inclusive and engaging learning environment [5]. In teaching, pluralism enriches the curriculum by introducing students to a broad spectrum of mathematical thought, encouraging critical thinking and a deeper appreciation for the subject‘s complexity. This pedagogical shift democratizes mathematics education and encourages students to appreciate the subject‘s beauty and complexity from multiple viewpoints [2]. Students learn that mathematics is not a monolith but a dynamic field shaped by historical, cultural, and philosophical influences. This approach demystifies mathematics, making it more accessible and engaging by showing its relevance to real-world contexts and other disciplines. Ultimately, mathematical pluralism broadens our understanding of mathematics as a dynamic and evolving discipline, deeply intertwined with human culture and intellectual history [11], highlighting its role not just as a tool for scientific inquiry but as a profound form of human endeavor that evolves with our changing perspectives on logic, beauty, and truth.

Besides its influences on the terrain of mathematics itself, mathematical pluralism extends its impact to various domains by reshaping ontological and epistemological frameworks. In her work „Mathematical Pluralism and Indispensability," Silvia Jonas addresses the complexities of applying empiricist justifications, typically reserved for the practical applications of mathematics in empirical sciences, to the domain of pure mathematics. This area of study often lacks direct scientific applications and presents a unique challenge in aligning its utility with empiricist principles. In doing so, Jonas navigates through the philosophical terrain where the notions of truth, existence, and the foundations of mathematical practice are interrogated in the light of pluralism. The ontological implications of mathematical pluralism suggest a more complex and nuanced understanding of mathematical reality than previously acknowledged. It invites reconsidering how mathematical truths are constructed, recognized, and validated across different mathematical universes, each with its own set of truths and logical structures. Epistemologically, it raises critical questions about our access to and understanding of these diverse mathematical worlds, challenging the assumption that a singular, absolute mathematical framework exists that underpins our scientific and logical inquiries. By exploring these themes, Jonas‘s work contributes to a deeper appreciation of the philosophical richness and complexity inherent in the pluralist perspective on mathematics. It shows the need for a more flexible and inclusive approach to understanding the nature of mathematical reality, accommodating the diversity and multiplicity of mathematical expressions and their implications for scientific theory and practice [10].

Incorporating mathematical pluralism into educational frameworks signifies a revolutionary change in pedagogical approaches, transitioning from traditional teaching methods to those that celebrate mathematical concepts‘ diversity and adaptability. Inspired by Jonas' discussions, this shift invites educators to design curricula that not only cover a broad spectrum of mathematical theories but also encourage critical engagement with the philosophical underpinnings of these theories. By integrating pluralistic approaches into the curriculum, teachers can create learning environments that foster a deeper appreciation of mathematics as a dynamic field characterized by multiple valid viewpoints and methodologies. This approach challenges students to think beyond the absolutes of right and wrong answers, encouraging them to explore and validate diverse problem-solving strategies. Such an educational strategy necessitates reevaluating the goals of mathematics education, moving towards a model that values learning and discovery as much as the acquisition of specific skills or knowledge. It echoes the deeper ontological and epistemological questions raised by Jonas, suggesting a reimagining of notions such as mathematical truth and the essence of mathematical exploration. Introducing students to the concept that mathematics transcends its role as a scientific tool to become a dynamic cultural practice encourages a more inclusive and stimulating learning experience. This not only makes mathematics more accessible to a broader audience but also equips learners to thrive in multidisciplinary fields, valuing the integration of various viewpoints and methods. Embracing pluralism in mathematics education ultimately cultivates a profound and nuanced comprehension of the subject, highlighting its significance as a deep manifestation of human intellect and creativity.

5. Challenges and Critiques

Mathematical pluralism has stimulated considerable discussion and scrutiny within the philosophy of mathematics community. Advocating for a diversity of practices and interpretations in mathematics, this viewpoint encounters critiques regarding its internal consistency, practical relevance, and the essence of what constitutes mathematical truth.

Critics argue that mathematical pluralism could undermine mathematics‘s objective and universal nature. Benacerraf and Putnam highlight foundational concerns in the philosophy of mathematics that touch upon issues relevant to pluralism, such as the implications of having multiple foundations for mathematics on the universality of mathematical truth [1]. Bishop raises questions about the cultural and imperialistic dimensions of mathematics, which could be seen as a challenge to the application of pluralism in a global context [2]. In defense of pluralism, Davies provides a robust argument, stating that embracing multiple mathematical systems enriches our understanding and does not necessarily compromise the integrity or applicability of mathematics [3]. Friend further expands on this by challenging prejudices against ‚bad‘ mathematical theories and advocating for a more inclusive view that acknowledges the productivity of having multiple, even conflicting, mathematical frameworks [9]. Though Roger Penrose does not explicitly discuss pluralism in The Road to Reality, he exemplifies the richness of mathematical thought in physics, implicitly supporting the idea that multiple mathematical approaches can yield deep insights into the physical universe. Shapiro contributes to the debate by examining the philosophical underpinnings of mathematical thought, providing a framework that could support a pluralistic view by emphasizing the flexibility and contextual nature of mathematical reasoning [13].

In response to critiques, proponents of mathematical pluralism argue that the diversity of mathematical frameworks is not a bug but a feature. This diversity allows for a richer exploration of mathematical possibilities and mirrors reality‘s complex and multifaceted nature. Ernest and Kitcher support this by advocating for a view of mathematics as a human construct that evolves and diversifies in response to changing needs and understandings [6, 11]. Mathematical pluralism also addresses challenges by highlighting the practical success of applying diverse mathematical theories in various fields, from physics to computer science. As Priest points out, applying mathematics often involves selecting the most appropriate framework for the task at hand, suggesting that pluralism is not only philosophically viable but also practically indispensable.

In summary, while mathematical pluralism faces challenges related to mathematics‘s coherence, objectivity, and cultural implications, it offers a promising approach to understanding and engaging with the mathematical world. By acknowledging and exploring the diversity of mathematical thought, pluralism encourages a more nuanced, inclusive, and dynamic perspective on mathematics, its foundations, and its applications.

6. Conclusion

Concluding this exploration into mathematical pluralism, it becomes evident that this perspective acts as a profound lens through which to view mathematics‘s expansive and diverse terrain. Navigating its philosophical roots, theoretical structures, and practical applications sheds light on the field‘s various ideas and methodologies. Adopting a pluralistic stance deepens our comprehension of mathematics and prompts a critical reevaluation of our preconceptions regarding knowledge, truth, and the essence of mathematical exploration. While highlighting the challenges inherent in embracing such a broad perspective, the debates and critiques surrounding mathematical pluralism also emphasize the dynamic nature of mathematical thought and its capacity for evolution and growth. The contributions of thinkers like Penrose, Shapiro, and others demonstrate the vitality and relevance of pluralistic approaches in addressing complex problems and uncovering new insights across mathematics, physics, and philosophy. In moving forward, the mathematical community faces the challenge of balancing the tensions between pluralism and monism, diversity and uniformity, innovation and tradition. The potential of mathematical pluralism to foster a more inclusive, creative, and interdisciplinary approach to mathematics education and research cannot be overstated. Valuing and integrating diverse mathematical practices and theories opens the door to a future in which mathematics thrives as a vibrant, multifaceted discipline, reflecting the complexity of the world it seeks to understand.

Ultimately, the spectrum of thought encompassed by mathematical pluralism is a testament to the richness of human intellectual endeavor. It reminds us that mathematics, like all forms of knowledge, is a deeply human pursuit—shaped by our curiosities, cultures, and collective desire to make sense of the universe. As we embrace the plurality of mathematical thought, we also embrace the shared human spirit that drives us to explore, question, and discover. In conclusion, mathematical pluralism offers a framework for understanding the diverse methodologies and philosophies underpinning mathematical practice and advocates for a broader conception of what mathematics can be. This approach does not seek to dilute the rigor or diminish mathematics achievements; rather, it aims to celebrate and cultivate the discipline‘s inherent diversity. As we continue to explore the spectrum of mathematical thought, we are reminded of the boundless potential that lies in embracing plurality—not just within mathematics but in all areas of human inquiry.

References

[1]Benacerraf, Paul., and Hilary. Putnam. *Philosophy of Mathematics : Selected Readings / Edited by Paul Benacerraf, Hilary Putnam*. 2nd ed. Cambridge [Cambridgeshire] ; Cambridge University Press, 1983. Print.

[2]Bishop, A.J. “Western Mathematics: The Secret Weapon of Cultural Imperialism.” Race & Class. vol. 32, no. 2, 1990, pp. 51-65.

[3]Davies, E.B. “A Defence of Mathematical Pluralism.” Philosophia mathematica 13.3 (2005): 252–276. Web.

[4]Davies, E.B. “Pluralism in Mathematics.” Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, physical, and engineering sciences 363.1835 (2005): 2449–2460. Web.

[5]Davis, Philip J., and Reuben Hersh. *The Mathematical Experience*. Birkhäuser, 1981.

[6]Ernest, Paul. *Social Constructivism as a Philosophy of Mathematics*. State University of New York Press, 1998.

[7]Friend, Michèle. “Embracing the Crisis in the Foundations of Mathematics.” Les Cahiers D’Ithaque, 2013.

[8]Friend, Michèle. *Pluralism in Mathematics: A New Position in Philosophy of Mathematics.* 1st ed. 2014. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 2014. Web.

[9]Friend, Michèle. “Pluralism and ‘Bad’ Mathematical Theories: Challenging Our Prejudices.” Paraconsistency: Logic and Applications. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 2013. 277–307. Web.

[10]Jonas, Silvia. “Mathematical Pluralism and Indispensability.” Erkenntnis (2023): n. pag. Web.

[11]Kitcher, Philip. *The Nature of Mathematical Knowledge*. Oxford University Press, 1984.

[12]Pedeferri, Andrea, and Friend, Michele. “Are Mathematicians Better Described as Formalists or Pluralists?” Logic and Philosophy of Science, vol. 9, no. 1, 2011, pp. 173-180.

[13]Penrose, Roger. *The Road to Reality: A Complete Guide to the Laws of the Universe.* Vintage, 2007.

[14]Priest, Graham. “A Note on Mathematical Pluralism and Logical Pluralism.” Synthese (Dordrecht) 198.Suppl 20 (2021): 4937–4946. Web.

[15]Priest, Graham. “How Do You Apply Mathematics?” Oxford University Press, Axiomathes, 32, 1169-1184.

[16]Priest, Graham. „The Logical Structure of Dialectic.“ History and Philosophy of Logic, vol. 44, 2023, pp. 200-208.

[17]Priest, Graham. „What If? The Exploration of an Idea.“ The Australasian Journal of Logic, vol. 14, 2017.

[18]Rodin, Andrei. “One Mathematic(s) or Many? Foundations of Mathematics in Today’s Mathematical Practice.” arXiv.org (2023): n. pag. Web

[19]Shapiro, Stewart. *Thinking About Mathematics: The Philosophy of Mathematics.* Oxford University Press, 2000.

[20]Tasic, Vladimir. Mathematics and the Roots of Postmodern Thought. Oxford University Press, 2001.