ISSN 2959-6130

The Economic Impact of Covid-19 pandemic on Labor Market and Stock Market

Ruoyi Liu

Heilongjiang Provincial Experimental High School, Haerbin, 150001, China
1610011003@stu.sqxy.edu.cn

Abstract:

The pandemic has caused severe unrest and uncertainty in the labor market. Share prices have fallen sharply. However, the reasons behind it and the related policy effects still lack a unified explanation and summary. Therefore, the topic of this paper is the impact of the epidemic on the labor force and the stock market. The research method of this paper is as follows: firstly, the relevant data such as unemployment rate, hiring ratio and purchasing manager index are collected, and then the data are analyzed. The study found that the main reasons for the decline in labor demand may include business shutdowns, more cautious business investment and the psychological impact on workers. Fears of a potential recession, supply chain disruptions and uncertainty over the duration and severity of the outbreak have driven the rapid fall in share prices. The relevant policy recommendations further encourage local governments to use the surplus funds from unemployment insurance and other sources to support enterprises in maintaining employment stability. This paper will encourage the implementation of policies that delay or partially refund social security contributions. And strengthen the strategy of partially refunding unemployment insurance premiums, promoting local employment opportunities, and providing subsidies to ensure the overall stability of the employment situation. These policies are constantly evaluated and adjusted in light of changing economic conditions and employment trends to help maintain employment levels and support businesses during difficult times.

Keywords: COVID-19, Labor market, Stock Market.

1. Introduction

The emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2019 has triggered a global health crisis with profound economic repercussions. The rapid spread of the virus and the subsequent implementation of containment measures, such as lockdowns and social distancing protocols, have disrupted economic activities on a scale unseen in recent history. The pandemic has led to supply chain disruptions, decreased consumer spending, widespread job losses, and the closure of businesses, particularly in sectors like tourism, hospitality, and retail [1]. These challenges have resulted in economic contractions, financial market volatility, and heightened uncertainty regarding future economic prospects [2].

Furthermore, the pandemic has exposed vulnerabilities in healthcare systems, highlighted social inequalities, and exacerbated disparities in access to essential services [3]. The economic impact has been uneven, with certain industries experiencing growth while others struggle to survive.

This research seeks to comprehensively examine the economic impact of Labor market and Stock Market of the pandemic, assess the effectiveness of policy responses, and explore strategies for recovery and resilience. Understanding the full extent of the economic consequences of the pandemic is essential for informing policy decisions, fostering economic recovery, and building a more resilient global economy in the post-pandemic era.

The following sections of this paper are organized as follows: Section 2 analyzes the impact of COVID-19 on the Labour market; Section 3 introduces the impact of COVID-19 on the market for stocks. The above two sections both analysis from the phenomenon, causes and policy effects of three aspects;And the section 4 summarizes the above content.

2. Labor Market

2.1 Stylized Facts

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on labor demand globally, as evidenced by various data indicators. Unemployment rates surged in many countries, with millions of workers losing their jobs as businesses closed or downsized due to lockdown measures and reduced consumer demand. For example, the United States saw its unemployment rate spike to over 14% in April2020, the highest level since the Great Depression.

Moreover, the job vacancy rate plummeted in many sectors, leading to a sharp decline in the job-to-applicant ratio. Many industries experienced a drastic reduction in hiring activity, with companies reluctant to recruit new employees amidst economic uncertainty.

Purchasing Managers' Index (PMI) data also reflected a contraction in economic activity, signaling a decrease in demand for goods and services and a slowdown in production. This downturn in manufacturing and services sectors further contributed to reduced labor demand.

Additionally, the pandemic disrupted the movement of migrant workers, with a significant decrease in the number of rural migrant workers returning to cities for employment in countries like China. This decline in migrant labor availability further impacted labor demand in sectors reliant on migrant workers.

The COVID-19 pandemic has also reshaped labor demand dynamics, with distinct impacts on various segments of the workforce. Low-skilled positions are particularly vulnerable to automation and are challenging to transition to remote work, a trend that is expected to persist due to factors such as corporate investment, technological innovation, and the overall remote work experience [4]. This trend may exacerbate the employment challenges faced by low-skilled workers.

The negative impact on female labor demand, termed the "she-cession," has been pronounced due to the pandemic-induced economic downturn[9]. Women, who are predominantly employed in service industries and high-contact occupations that are less conducive to remote work, have faced heightened challenges. Women in lower-wage and lower-educated groups have been disproportionately affected, leading to increased labor market inequalities.

Moreover, the pandemic has had a significant adverse effect on labor demand at both ends of the age spectrum. Business contractions have impacted young workers, particularly in service industries where layoffs have been prevalent due to lack of experience. Young individuals are at a higher risk of unemployment, and the continuity of remote work arrangements may hinder the re-entry of older workers into the labor market.

On the supply side, the pandemic has led to a decrease in the willingness of low-skilled workers to enter the labor market, especially those in roles requiring face-to-face interactions and non-remote work. Industries such as accommodation and food services, reliant on low-skilled workers, have experienced a notable increase in job vacancies compared to higher-skilled industries [4].

The supply of female labor has also declined, particularly among women with children, during periods of strict lockdown measures. In the United States, when stringent containment measures were in place, the decline in female employment rates exceeded that of men, influenced by family responsibilities and opportunity costs. Unlike previous economic downturns, women have been disproportionately affected by the pandemic, especially married women with children.

Furthermore, the supply of labor at both ends of the age spectrum has decreased. Older workers have opted for early retirement due to virus risks, slow labor market recovery, government subsidy policies, and psychological stress. Younger workers are exhibiting a trend towards delayed entry into the job market, exacerbating market frictions and reducing job-seeking willingness.

In conclusion, the multifaceted impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on labor demand has created challenges for low-skilled workers, women, and individuals at the extremes of the age distribution. Real data evidence, including unemployment rates, job vacancy rates, Purchasing Managers' Index data, and the number of migrant workers, underscores the significant disruptions and inequalities within the labor market brought about by the ongoing global health crisis.

2.2 Causes

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the labor market can be analyzed through real-world examples and economic theories that shed light on the underlying reasons for the disruptions observed. One prominent example is the surge in remote work arrangements, which has fundamentally altered the traditional structure of many industries.

The pandemic has accelerated the adoption of remote work practices across various sectors, driven by the need for social distancing and safety measures. This shift has not only transformed the way work is conducted but has also highlighted the disparities in job roles that can effectively transition to remote settings. High-skilled workers in knowledge-based industries have been able to adapt more readily to remote work, maintaining productivity and job security. In contrast, low-skilled workers in sectors such as hospitality and retail, which require physical presence and face-to-face interactions, have faced challenges in maintaining employment stability.

Economic theories such as the skill-biased technological change hypothesis provide insights into the differential impact of the pandemic on various segments of the labor market. This theory suggests that technological advancements tend to favor high-skilled workers over low-skilled workers, leading to increased wage inequality [5]. The accelerated pace of automation and digitalization during the pandemic has further exacerbated this trend, with low-skilled workers facing a higher risk of job displacement.

Moreover, the labor market impacts of the pandemic can be analyzed through the lens of labor market segmentation theory. This theory posits that labor markets are divided into different segments based on factors such as skill level, education, and industry[9]. The pandemic has exposed the vulnerabilities of certain segments, such as low-skilled workers in service industries, who are more susceptible to job losses and economic downturns.

Real-world examples further illustrate the repercussions of the pandemic on the labor market. For instance, the closure of non-essential businesses and restrictions on travel have disproportionately affected industries like tourism and hospitality, leading to mass layoffs and reduced job opportunities. In contrast, sectors such as technology and e-commerce have experienced growth and increased demand for skilled workers to support digital transformation initiatives.In 2019, China's business and service industry personnel, professional and technical personnel, the combined supply and demand accounted for 74.32% and 69.24%, respectively[11].

2.3 Policy Effect

The Executive meeting of The State Council of China held on February 11, 2020, proposed to pay high attention to the issue of employment and prevent large-scale layoffs; While doing a good job in epidemic prevention and control, many localities have introduced stable employment policies, including refunding part of unemployment insurance premiums, encouraging local employment, and issuing subsidies, so as to ensure the overall stability of the employment situation[10].

In terms of accelerating the disbursement of return funds, Zhang Ying of The State Council said that in support of the stable employment of small, medium-sized and micro enterprises, the Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security together with relevant departments to make special deployment, expand the benefit of small, medium-sized and micro enterprises stable job return policy, 30 people less than the insured enterprise layoffs rate does not exceed 20% of the total number of insured employees, can apply for unemployment insurance stable job return policy[10].

In terms of supporting migrant workers to start their own businesses, professor Li Chang 'an of the School of Public Administration of the University of Economics and Business said that for migrant workers, it is necessary to strengthen the release of labor market information, solve the problem of asymmetric employment information, break through the bottleneck of recruitment difficulties of enterprises and migrant workers, reduce the time of searching the labor market of migrant workers, improve the accuracy of employment, and encourage migrant workers to return home and start businesses on the spot. To cope with the employment problem caused by the reduction of labor flow[10].

In order to help college students find jobs and start businesses, Zhang Ying said that it is necessary to optimize services for college graduates, expand online handling of matters, and encourage online interviews, online signing and online registration. Guide the employing units to extend the recruitment time, postpone the physical examination, and postpone the signing of enrollment. At the same time, according to the situation, adjust the written test interview time of public institutions, state-owned enterprises, grass-roots service projects recruitment[10].

3. Stock Market

3.1 Stylized Facts

The COVID-19 pandemic also has had a profound impact on global stock markets, with investors adopting a more cautious approach in response to the economic uncertainties brought about by the crisis. As countries implemented restrictions to curb the spread of the virus, supply chains were disrupted, production slowed down, and unemployment rates soared, leading to an overall economic downturn. This instability directly affected stock markets, causing a general decline in stock market indices as investors exercised caution amidst the uncertain economic environment.

The global stock market has been significantly impacted by the pandemic, with market sentiment dampened by the prevailing uncertainties. Investors have adopted a wait-and-see approach, hesitant to make significant investment decisions in the face of ongoing economic challenges and market volatility.

Amidst the market turbulence, certain investment opportunities have emerged. Companies in the biopharmaceutical and medical devices sectors have seen their stock prices rise as they play a crucial role in developing treatments and vaccines to combat the virus. Investors have also shown interest in clean energy and sustainable development sectors, driven by the increasing emphasis on environmental issues and the growing demand for sustainable solutions.

Real data serves as evidence of the impact of the pandemic on stock markets. For instance, major stock market indices such as the S&P 500, FTSE 100, and Nikkei225 experienced significant fluctuations and declines during the initial stages of the pandemic-induced economic turmoil. The VIX index, commonly known as the "fear index," spiked to record levels as market volatility surged, reflecting investor anxiety and uncertainty.

In terms of sector-specific performance, biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies have witnessed notable stock price increases, with companies involved in vaccine development attracting significant investor attention. Clean energy companies have also seen a rise in stock prices, reflecting growing investor interest in sustainable investment opportunities amidst the global focus on environmental sustainability.

Overall, the data underscores the profound impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on stock markets, highlighting the increased caution among investors and the emergence of new investment opportunities in sectors that are positioned to benefit from the changing economic landscape shaped by the crisis. As market conditions continue to evolve, investors are likely to remain vigilant and responsive to ongoing developments, navigating the challenges and opportunities presented by the post-pandemic investment landscape.

3.2 Causes

The COVID-19 pandemic has significantly impacted global stock markets, leading to heightened volatility and uncertainty among investors. This impact can be analyzed through real-world examples and economic theories that shed light on the underlying reasons for the disruptions observed.

One real-world example of the pandemic's impact on stock markets is the sharp decline witnessed in major indices during the initial stages of the crisis. For instance, in March 2020, the S&P 500 experienced its fastest-ever bear market as fears of the economic fallout from the pandemic triggered a massive sell-off. This rapid decline in stock prices was driven by concerns over the potential economic recession, disruptions to supply chains, and the uncertainty surrounding the duration and severity of the pandemic.

Economic theories such as the efficient market hypothesis provide insights into how stock markets react to unexpected events like the COVID-19 pandemic. According to this theory, stock prices reflect all available information and respond quickly to new information or events. The rapid and severe downturn in stock markets at the onset of the pandemic can be attributed to the sudden shock to the global economy, which caught investors off guard and led to panic selling.

Another economic theory that helps explain the impact of the pandemic on stock markets is the concept of risk aversion. During times of uncertainty and heightened risk, investors tend to become more risk-averse and seek safer assets, leading to a flight to quality. The uncertainty surrounding the duration and economic consequences of the pandemic has fueled risk aversion among investors, prompting them to sell off riskier assets like stocks and move towards safer investments such as bonds or cash.

Moreover, the pandemic has also highlighted the interconnectedness of global markets and the vulnerabilities of certain sectors to external shocks. For example, industries such as travel, hospitality, and retail have been disproportionately affected by lockdowns and restrictions, leading to significant declines in stock prices for companies operating in these sectors. On the other hand, sectors such as technology, healthcare, and e-commerce have seen increased demand and stock price appreciation as they adapt to the new normal and benefit from changing consumer behavior.

3.3 Policy Effect

Strengthen the construction of investor sentiment mechanism in the establishment of investor sentiment early warning mechanism, timely track and identify investors' irrational behavior, remind and guide investors to invest rationally, timely stop losses, and avoid further deterioration of irrational behavior resulting in stock market shocks.

China's stock market supervision loopholes, information asymmetry, small investors suffer. In terms of strengthening financial market supervision, it is necessary to strengthen supervision, protect investors' rights and interests, and calm market instability.

In strengthening investor education, people should carefully distinguish the value and timeliness of information, establish correct investment concepts, and improve investment decisions, which will help eliminate irrational behaviors and promote the healthy development of financial markets.

4. Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic, starting in late 2019, has had profound effects on the global economy, causing disruptions in various sectors due to containment measures like lockdowns and travel restrictions. Supply chain disruptions, decreased consumer demand, rising unemployment, and business closures have led to economic contractions and market volatility. The pandemic has exposed vulnerabilities in healthcare systems and social inequalities, impacting industries unevenly, with sectors like healthcare and technology thriving while tourism and small businesses struggle. Understanding these impacts is crucial for developing strategies for recovery, resilience, and sustainable growth post-pandemic, aiming to mitigate adverse effects and foster a more inclusive and resilient economy.

The epidemic has had a huge impact on the sample workers, which is not only reflected in the reduction of working hours, but also the decline or even loss of income. Low-skilled jobs are more susceptible to automation and harder to work from home. The proportion of home-based workers is expected to decline, but it is likely to be long-term, driven by corporate investment, technological innovation and the home-based working experience. This trend could exacerbate the employment woes of low-skilled workers. Because women mainly work in the service industry and high-contact occupations, it is difficult to work at home. Women are disproportionately affected by automation and information asymmetry. Women are hit harder in low-wage and low-education groups, contributing to labor market inequality. The negative impact on labor demand is greatest at both ends of the age distribution. Businesses are shrinking as a result of the pandemic, hitting a younger workforce due to job cuts and inexperience in the service sector. Young people are vulnerable to redundancy and are at risk of losing their jobs. Considering the continuity of working from home may limit the return of older workers to the labor market.

Investors are more cautious about the impact of the epidemic on the stock market, and the stock market index has generally fallen. The pandemic triggered a global economic recession, with restrictions disrupting supply chains, slowing production and spiking unemployment. The economic instability directly affected the stock market, investors were cautious, and the stock market index generally fell. Global stock markets have been hit by the epidemic, the investment environment is uncertain, market sentiment is depressed, and investors are waiting. But relatively speaking, investment opportunities have increased. Biopharmaceutical and medical device companies benefited from the epidemic and saw their share prices rise. Investors are focusing on clean energy and sustainable development, and environmental concerns are leading to increased demand.

To enhance the effectiveness of the policies aimed at addressing employment issues and preventing mass layoffs, it is recommended to further incentivize localities to utilize surplus funds from unemployment insurance and other sources to support businesses in maintaining employment stability. Additionally, encouraging the implementation of policies allowing for deferred payment or partial refund of social security contributions by eligible regions can provide much-needed relief.

Furthermore, while prioritizing effective epidemic prevention and control measures, the strategy of refunding a portion of unemployment insurance fees, promoting local employment opportunities, and providing subsidies should be strengthened to ensure overall stability in the employment situation. Continuous evaluation and adjustment of these policies based on evolving economic conditions and employment trends can help sustain employment levels and support businesses during challenging times.

References

[1] Umar M, Zia-ul-haq H M, Ali S, et al. Post COVID-19 development of sustainable production and consumption systems[J]. Sustainable production and consumption systems, 2021: 59-86.

[2] Szczygielski J J, Charteris A, Bwanya P R, et al. The impact and role of COVID-19 uncertainty: A global industry analysis[J]. International Review of Financial Analysis, 2022, 80: 101837.

[3] Santos K O B, Fernandes R C P, Almeida M M C, et al. Labor, health and vulnerability in the COVID-19 pandemic[J]. Cadernos de Saúde Pública, 2020, 36: e00178320.

[4] Biffl G, Martin P. Covid-19: Impact on low skill workers[J]. Integrating low-skilled migrants in the digital age, 2020: 153-170.

[5] Atasoy H, Banker R D, Pavlou P A. Information technology skills and labor market outcomes for workers[J]. Information Systems Research, 2021, 32(2): 437-461.

[6] [Yuan Hangyu. The asymmetric impact of COVID-19 on China's labor market [D]. Shanghai University of Finance and Economics,2023.

[7] Cao Xiongfei, Yang Shuanghui, Fan Weibin. The impact of epidemic sentiment on stock market based on text mining [J]. Finance Theory and Teaching,2023,(05):44-52.

[8] Wang Q, Liu L. Pandemic or panic? A firm-level study on the psychological and industrial impacts of COVID-19 on the Chinese stock market[J]. Financial Innovation, 2022, 8(1): 36.

[9] Quansah T, Frick B, Lang M, et al. The importance of club revenues for player salaries and transfer expenses—how does the coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19) impact the English Premier League?[J]. Sustainability, 2021, 13(9): 5154.

[10] Han Bingzhi, Chinese government network. The policy of "fast and precise" to stabilize employment is more solid - in the face of changes in the labor market during the epidemic, Dense policies around the "package" [EB/OL]. (2020/02/17) [2024/05/27]. http://www.mohrss.gov.cn/SYrlzyhshbzb/dongtaixinwen/buneiyaowen/202002/t2 0200217 360018.html

[11] Jiangmen city human resources and social security bureau. In the first quarter of 2019 jiangmen city human resources market supply and demand summary [EB/OL]. (2019/04/22) [2024/06/09]. http://www.jiangmen.gov.cn/bmpd/jmsrlzyhshbzj/sy lm/ghjh/content/post 1484917.html