Dean&Francis

ISSN 2959-6130

The Relationship Between Corporate Sustainability Investments and Corporate Performance

Yachi Sun

Stephenson College, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, United Kingdom
Corresponding author: Crts72@durham.ac.uk

Abstract:

This paper describes how sustainable investment and business performance are closely related to the growing public and government interest in sustainable development in a deteriorating ecological environment. Therefore, in various studies, including data on ESG inputs, sustainable investment is related to corporate performance in many ways, such as geographic location, time, goodwill, and financial performance. Therefore, sustainable investment is a double-edged sword for business performance. On the one hand, this paper describes the importance of sustainable investment and the positive attitudes of companies towards it, such as the implementation of a series of initiatives willing to train relevant personnel, investment in technology, purchase of environmental protection equipment, and so on, and also describes the positive attitudes of the government and the public towards the sustainable investment of companies. On the other hand, companies also face many challenges, such as high short-term costs for shareholders, a significant reduction in profits and corporate dependence and other disadvantages.

Keywords: Sustainability Investments; Corporate Performance; Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Factors.

1. Introduction

In the contemporary economic environment, sustainable investment has been transformed from an optional program to an integral part of strategic planning for global corporations as ecological degradation and societal expectations of corporate responsibility grow. Sustainable investments, especially those involving environmental, social, and governance (ESG) aspects, not only reflect a company's commitment to environmental protection and social responsibility, but are also seen as a key factor in driving long-term corporate performance growth. Studies have shown that companies that invest in areas such as sustainability also experience significant increases in performance or financial performance, as well as improved goodwill and public ratings. However, there are many challenges associated with investing in sustainability, such as the conflict between significant short-term cost increases and long-term financial performance, long payback periods, and significant variations across geography and time. At the same time, academics are still divided on the specific mechanisms and conditions under which sustainable investment affects business performance. Some studies suggest that sustainable investments can improve market performance and financial health, while others point to the potential for additional cost burdens associated with such investments. Although many studies have examined the relationship between sustainable investment and business performance, the results tend to vary by industry, region, business size and other factors, and there is a lack of consistent conclusions. With the rapid changes in the global economic and social environment, research in this area needs to be updated and deepened. Therefore, this study aims to deeply analyze and explore how corporate sustainability investment affects corporate performance through corporate practices and other aspects, to provide a literature basis for corporations to formulate and implement sustainability strategies, as well as to provide lessons and references for the formulation of related policies.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Basis of Sustainable Investment

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) works by ensuring that companies actively comply with the spirit of the law and ethical standards, and also by constructing positive public relations and high ethical standards to increase a company's long-term profitability or viability. This practice not only reduces business and legal risks, but also helps to build shareholder trust. A study by Han et al. provides further insights into this concept, particularly in the context of listed companies in South Korea, by exploring the relationship between environmental, social, and corporate governance (ESG) scores and firms' financial performance [1].

In assessing the impact of CSR on financial performance, Han et al. adopt an innovative approach that uses third-party ESG scores in place of companies' own reported CSR data [1]. This approach overcomes the inherent shortcomings of relying on individual companies' their reports, such as biased disclosure issues, and provides a more objective and reliable measure. The study found a correlation between good ESG performance and higher financial performance, which emphasizes the value of firms' efforts in environmental protection, social contribution and effective governance.

Specifically, Han et al. analyzed financial performance through accounting-based measures (e.g., ROE), market-based measures (e.g., stock returns) [1]. Their sample consists of all companies listed in the Korean KOSPI (Korean Composite Stock Price Index) market between 2008 and 2014, which provides a broad data base for the study. By controlling variables such as debt-to-equity ratio and asset size, the study reveals a positive correlation between ESG scores and financial performance.

Overall, by analyzing the relationship between CSR and FP in depth, the study by Han et al. emphasizes the importance of sustainability in today's business environment [1]. Firms not only need to focus on their economic interests, but also need to take an active role in environmental protection and social responsibility in order to build long-term competitive advantage and shareholder trust.

It finds that the vast majority of people have never heard of sustainable investments before, indicating substantial information deficits. It finds that the vast majority of people have never heard of sustainable investments before, indicating substantial information deficits among Japanese individual investors and that individual SI in Japan is still in its infancy. not hold any SI or do not know the share of SI in their total portfolio [1]. It can be seen that the majority of Japanese individual investors are unfamiliar with the concept of sustainable investments, indicating substantial information deficits among Japanese individual investors and that individual SI in Japan is still in its infancy. This means that there is a huge potential for promoting sustainable investment in Japan. As people become more aware of environmental protection and social responsibility, and as society becomes increasingly aware of the goal of sustainable development, it is likely that further promotion and investment by companies in this area will yield significant results. This is because companies often adopt sustainability practices with the expectation that they will be recognized in the marketplace, thereby increasing the value of their sustainability investments by boosting demand in this area. However, if investors are not sufficiently cognizant of sustainability investments, this can reduce the incentive for companies to engage in sustainability practices. It is also shown that in Japan, increasing individual investors' awareness of sustainable investment can increase the demand for such investment, which in turn can incentivize more companies to adopt sustainable practices, which not only protects the environment, but also attracts more capital investment and expands the customer base, resulting in a virtuous cycle of economic growth.

2.2 Relationship Between Business Performance and Sustainable Investment

In terms of positive impact, Olitzky et al. mentioned that CSP increases managerial competencies contributes to organizational knowledge about the firm's market social political technological and other environments and thus enhances organizational efficiency [2].

This statement highlights an important point: corporate social performance (CSP) increases organizational efficiency by enhancing managerial competencies and organizational knowledge. This suggests that corporate investment in the area of social responsibility is not only an ethical commitment, but also a strategic behavior that enhances a company's ability to adapt to the market and social environment, which in turn improves its operational efficiency and financial performance. This means that sustainable investment, especially in the field of social responsibility, generates positive financial returns for enterprises, which makes it more attractive for investors or shareholders to make further investments.

Meanwhile, Ameer & Othman's study finds significantly higher mean sales growth, return on assets, profit before taxation, and cash flows from operations in some activity sectors of the sample companies compared to the control companies over the period of 2006-2010 [3]. Through the long term observation from 2006 to 2010, as well as mentioning that the study not only focuses on a single financial indicator, but also integrates the cash flow table and sales growth levels, the study demonstrates the importance of sustainable investment in a multidimensional way, over time and by various criteria, further emphasizing that sustainable investment in the environment or innovation in green technology can bring significant positive financial benefits. When companies have more money and pay more taxes, governments can use this money to subsidize further sustainable investments and encourage the market and companies to upgrade their green technologies. As well, the government itself will have more money to invest in green investments, such as building more solar power plants and investing in water recycling technologies, in a virtuous cycle of sustainable development.

Porter and Kramer wrote in 2006, CSR can be much more than a cost, a constraint, or a charitable deed-it can be a source of opportunity, innovation, and competitive advantage. It can be a source of opportunity, innovation, and competitive advantage [4]. This quote emphasizes that Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) goes beyond costs and constraints and is actually a source of opportunity, innovation, and competitive advantage for companies. It suggests that by implementing CSR strategies, companies can not only solve social problems, but also create new business opportunities and competitive advantages. So through effective CSR activities, companies are able to increase their brand value, improve their market competitiveness and ultimately affect their financial performance, for example, Tesla has further broadened its market with its eco-friendly trolley as a selling point.

Thus it can be seen that the positive effect of CSR on financial performance is not only reflected in the direct financial returns, but more importantly, it is achieved by enhancing the firm's managerial capability, organizational knowledge, innovation and competitive advantage. These studies emphasize the importance of viewing CSR as a long-term investment integrated into a firm's core strategy and also contributing to enhancing one's goodwill and public image, rather than a simple cost or philanthropic act.

Positive impacts are accompanied by corresponding negative effects, as Margolis & Walsh also presented that companies are increasingly asked to provide innovative solutions to deep-seated problems of human misery even as economic and social problems are addressed [5]. Companies are increasingly asked to provide innovative solutions to deep-seated problems of human misery even as economic theory instructs managers to focus on maximizing their shareholders' wealth. It reveals that businesses also face pressures and expectations to address social issues, and also demonstrates that corporate social initiatives can conflict with purely financial goals, leading to challenges and negative effects in resource allocation. On the one hand, activities are seen as an opportunity to enhance a company's social image and brand value, but on the other hand, they may also lead to a reduction in economic benefits, such as ongoing changes in the regulation of sustainable investment in line with policies, complexity and the risk of accusations of green money laundering, or an increase in costs in the short term, which may require huge investments in labor training, technical production and equipment (e.g. green production lines), ultimately leading to even negative growth in profits. This ultimately leads to even negative profit growth. Shareholders and boards of directors, whose demands are usually profit maximization, may be opposed to decision-making when budgets allocated to sustainable investments are somewhat detrimental to their interests. At the same time, market and consumer perceptions also influence sales values, and may also deviate from estimated profits if the general public is less aware of sustainability aspects, leading to a reluctance to pay extra for green products.

In addition to the direct positive and negative effects, there are a range of other factors that may have an impact on this relationship. For example, market policies, changes in the economic environment, consumer preferences, and technological advances may moderate or influence the link between sustainable investment and firm performance. For example, a market policy that supports green technologies may enhance the economic returns to environmentally friendly investments, while technological advances may make certain sustainable investments more cost-effective. In addition, as consumer preference for sustainable products and services grows, corporate social responsibility activities may garner more positive responses in the marketplace, thus indirectly contributing to financial performance. The combination of these factors makes the relationship between sustainable investment and firm performance more complex and diverse.

3. Research Methodology

Friede et al.'s study presents a synthesis of several studies on the relationship between ESG criteria and corporate financial performance (CFP) [6]. It includes the focus of these studies, the number of studies, and the proportion of results regarding whether ESG impacts on CFP are positive, neutral, negative, or mixed.

"Positive (Positive)" results mean that the studies found a positive correlation between a company's ESG behaviors and financial performance, meaning that companies that implement these standards typically see an increase in financial performance. "Neutral" results mean that the study did not find a significant relationship between ESG behaviors and financial performance. "Negative" results indicate that ESG behaviors may have a negative impact on financial performance, possibly because the costs or changes required to meet these standards may outweigh their financial benefits. The "Mixed" results refer to the fact that the results of the study are varied and cannot simply be categorized as either entirely positive or entirely negative.

From this table, other relationships between companies' sustainable investment behaviors and firm performance can be identified, for example, if environmental (E)-related studies report more positive or neutral results, while social (S)- or governance (G)-related studies have more dispersed results, which may imply that the impacts of different ESG factors on financial performance can vary by domain.

In addition, by analyzing the temporal distribution of the findings, it can be found that temporal changes can also affect the relationship, for example, changes in the economic environment may affect the financial returns of ESG investments. During economic booms, investors may be more willing to invest in companies with high ESG performance because the market is more tolerant of risk and there is more room for sustainable investments such as training relevant talent. On the contrary, in a recession, investors may be more concerned with short-term financial returns than with the costs associated with sustainable investments. In addition to this, although institutional investment historically has been low in Bangladesh, recently there has been a noticeable rise in institutional investors as a percentage of ownership in listed companies. investors as a percentage of ownership in listed companies, i.e., traded shares are increasingly being bought by institutional investors, especially over the past 5-10 years [7]. It can also be seen that over time, the number of practices and projects on sustainable investing is likely to increase, the research methodology becomes more refined, including more precise quantification of ESG metrics and more nuanced measurements of the impacts of CFPs, and there is more as well as higher-quality historical data to refer to and learn from. This has led to more lessons to be learned by the latter companies to improve their strategies. Meanwhile, as the average level of education slowly increases and the concept of ecological protection is promoted, consumers may also understand the significance of sustainable development and choose green products more often, thus increasing market demand. At this point, ESG investments can not only increase profits, but also enhance goodwill and company image. Finally, government policies are also possible factors, such as government subsidies and tax incentives for sustainable development may enhance its attractiveness at a particular point in time.

Secondly, it can take the perspective of both SWOT and PESTLE analysis methods, for example, there are many strengths and opportunities for sustainability strategy, and technological innovation is the key to drive the successful implementation of sustainability strategy. Thus, urban redevelopment strategies in line with the topic of adaptive reuse should also consider technological and technical matters and encourage research and development of innovative, durable techniques, systems, and components in order to achieve the desired outcome of an improved built environment. Assessing and managing sustainability in international perspective: corporate sustainability across cultures - towards a strategic approach [7].

This emphasizes the role of technological innovation in improving the efficiency and sustainability of projects, as it increases the efficiency of sustainable investments, such as investing in new environmentally friendly machinery, reduces energy consumption and, in the long term, reduces the unit cost of the company to a certain extent, as well as opens up new markets and improves the competitiveness of the company in the market by having an exclusive technology [8].

In addition, secure financial incentives, for example, in the form of tax concessions suggests that urban re-incentives reveal the importance of government policies and market incentives in driving firms to adopt sustainability strategies [9]. These incentives, such as increased subsidies and reduced associated taxes, can contribute to making them more active in investing in sustainability projects, thereby enhancing their long-term value. Governments can also ensure that all firms are on an equal playing field in terms of compliance with environmental and social standards by formulating and enforcing fair sustainability policies, avoiding the need for firms to sacrifice the environment in order to reduce costs.

However, technological development and political inertia also pose many challenges. Technological difficulties can lead to companies investing too much in technology upfront, which in turn leads to not having too much money to invest in sustainable aspects, affecting the ROI (return on investment) and viability of the project [10]. Moreover, headline technologies, such as environmental technology, are easily monopolized by developed countries or large corporations, exacerbating social inequality. On the political front, lack of effective policy support and incentives, or lack of implementation, may slow down the adoption of sustainability strategies by companies. At the same time, on the one hand, it is difficult to harmonize the views and requirements of different institutions and companies on ESG standards, or there are problems such as uneven distribution of policies, which makes it difficult to judge the standards, thus limiting their potential in enhancing corporate value. On the other hand, it may also lead to enterprises relying too much on policies instead of focusing on improving their own efficiency, or SMEs still burdened with hardship even if they have policy support which is a big expense, leading to a lack of autonomy to make sustainable investments as long as there are no subsidies, etc.

4. Conclusion

This paper has synthesized multiple perspectives to examine the relationship between corporate sustainable investment and corporate performance. It can be seen that Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) criteria (sustainable investment) are usually associated with improved corporate financial performance (CFP), which is reflected in increased market competitiveness of the company, enhanced brand image, etc. However, negative impacts are also evident, especially when sustainable investments are not directly related to a company's core business or require significant upfront investment, which may negatively impact financial performance in the short term. In addition, other factors such as market policies and technological advances also play a moderating role in the ESG investment-CFP relationship. In addition, this analysis confirms that although the positive impact of ESG is widely recognized, the actual impact on corporate performance depends on a variety of conditions, including industry characteristics, regional differences, and market environment, time factors, and so on.

In summary, corporate sustainable investment has a significant positive impact on corporate performance, but at the same time it also possesses complexity. Enterprises should consider various internal and external factors when making decisions on sustainable investment, balance their long-term goals and short-term performance, and ensure the consistency between sustainable development strategies and corporate financial goals.

References

[1] Han, J., Kim, J. H., & Yu, J. (2016). Empirical study on relationship between corporate social responsibility and financial performance in Korea. Asian Journal of Sustainability and Social Responsibility, 1(1), 61–76.

[2] Orlitzky, M., Schmidt, F. L., & Rynes, S. L. (2003). Corporate Social and Financial Performance: A Meta-Analysis. Organization Studies, 24(3), 403–441.

[3] Ameer, R., & Othman, R. (2012). Sustainability practices and corporate financial performance: A study based on the top global corporations. Journal of business ethics, 108, 61-79.

[4] Michael E. P., & Mark R. K. (2016). Strategy and society: the link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility. Harvard Business Review.

[5] Margolis, J. D., & Walsh, J. P. (2003). Misery Loves Companies: Rethinking social initiatives by business. Administrative Science Quarterly, 48(2), 268–305.

[6] Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). ESG and financial performance: aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 5(4), 210–233.

[7] Gerner, M. (2019). Assessing and managing sustainability in international perspective: corporate sustainability across cultures–towards a strategic framework implementation approach. International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility, 4, 1-34.

[8] Gutsche, G., Nakai, M., & Arimura, T. H. (2021). Revisiting the determinants of individual sustainable investment—The case of Japan. Journal of Behavioural and Experimental Finance, 30, 100497.

[9] Ahmed, S. U., Islam, Z., Mahtab, H., & Hasan, I. (2012). Institutional Investment and Corporate Social Performance: Linkage towards Sustainable Development. Corporate Social-responsibility and Environmental Management, 21(1), 1–13.

[10] Baker, L., & Sovacool, B. K. (2017). The political economy of technological capabilities and global production networks in South Africa's wind and solar photovoltaic (PV) industries. Political Geography, 60, 1-12.