ISSN 2959-6149

The Art of Dialogue: Synergistic Effects of Language Adaptation and Emotional Intelligence in AI Systems

Te Zhao^{1,*}

¹School of Arts, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, the United Kingdom * nieyong@ldy.edu.rs

Abstract:

Artificial intelligence (AI) systems are increasingly applied in areas such as voice assistants, customer service, and healthcare. However, current AI systems often lack semantic understanding and emotional expression capabilities when interacting with humans, leading to poor user experiences. To enable AI systems to converse more naturally with humans, it is necessary to synergistically optimize two key capabilities: language adaptation and emotional intelligence. This paper reviews the latest research progress in AI dialogue systems regarding language adaptation and emotional intelligence. In terms of language adaptation, this paper summarizes technologies such as personalized dialogue, knowledge-enhanced dialogue, and multi-turn contextual dialogue. In terms of emotional intelligence, this paper outlines work in areas such as emotion recognition, emotional dialogue generation, and empathetic dialogue. Additionally, this paper discusses strategies for synergistic optimization of language adaptation and emotional intelligence, as well as challenges in dialogue system evaluation. Finally, this paper looks ahead to future directions for AI dialogue systems.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Dialogue Systems; Language Adaptation; Emotional Intelligence; Human-Computer Interaction.

1. Introduction

In recent years, AI voice assistants represented by Apple's Siri, Amazon's Alexa, and Microsoft's (Asia) XiaoIce have rapidly gained popularity, becoming important interaction interfaces in people's daily lives. According to statistics, global smart speaker shipments reached 163 million units in 2020, a year-on-year increase of 70% [1]. Meanwhile, many enterprises have integrated conversational AI into business scenarios such as customer service and sales to improve operational efficiency and service quality. Numerous reports indicate that the global conversational AI market is expected to reach \$32 billion by 2027 [2]. Although conversational AI has already achieved scale in industry, academic research on its internal mechanisms is still relatively lagging. Users hope that AI systems can not only understand instructions and complete tasks but also engage in interesting conversations and express appropriate emotions like humans.

Language adaptation refers to the ability of AI systems to dynamically adjust dialogue strategies based on users' personal attributes (such as age, gender, and interests) and dialogue scenarios, achieving "catering to their preferences". For example, when facing child users, the system should use simple and lively language; when facing elderly users, the system should slow down speech and patiently explain. In addition, the system should also control the degree of interaction based on its own positioning. For example, a companion-type AI assistant can talk about anything with users, while a professional medical AI should adhere to professional ethics and avoid sensitive topics. Emotional intelligence refers to the ability of AI systems to accurately identify users' emotions, provide appropriate emotional responses, and create a good interactive atmosphere. Specifically, when users express negative emotions (such as sadness and anxiety), the system should show empathy and provide comfort and encouragement; when users express positive emotions (such as happiness and excitement), the system should share joy and promote further topic development. At the same time, the system itself should also have distinct emotional characteristics to avoid being rigid and inflexible. For example, a simple and honest AI assistant and a witty and humorous AI host should have very different ways of expressing emotions. It can be seen that language adaptation and emotional intelligence are complementary. The former focuses on the flexibility of dialogue strategies, while the latter emphasizes the quality of emotional interaction. The synergistic optimization of both is expected to significantly improve the conversational experience of AI systems. Therefore, this paper will focus on these two main themes, systematically reviewing cutting-edge research results, analyzing current technical bottlenecks, and looking ahead to future development trends.

2. Research on Language Adaptation

2.1 Personalized Dialogue

Different users have different demographic attributes and interest preferences, which requires dialogue systems to adjust dialogue styles and content according to individuals. Personalized dialogue aims to generate responses that fit users' tastes based on user profiles, thereby increasing interaction stickiness. Related research can be broadly categorized into personalization based on demographic attributes, interest preferences, and personality traits. Age and gender are two major factors affecting users' dialogue behavior. Based on this, Li et al. proposed a hierarchical seq2seq model that first predicts high-level topics based on demographic attributes and then refines them into specific responses. Experiments show that the dialogues generated by this model are more in line with the colloquial habits of different groups [3]. In terms of interest preferences, researchers have found that combining dependent variables and attention mechanisms can effectively predict topics of interest to users and adjust dialogue content accordingly. This approach allows the system to tailor conversations to individual user interests, enhancing engagement and satisfaction. Personality traits reflect stable individual behavioral patterns and have a significant impact on dialogue strategies. For example, Qian et al. proposed a personalized dialogue generation model that learns to encode personality traits into distributed embeddings and uses these embeddings to guide the generation of personalized responses. Their experiments on a large-scale personalized dialogue dataset showed that this approach could produce responses that better match the intended personality traits [4]. This model effectively improved the personality richness of responses while maintaining dialogue fluency [5].

2.2 Knowledge-Enhanced Dialogue

Although personalized dialogue improves the targeting of responses, it still tends to produce content that is empty and logically confused when facing open-domain topics. This is mainly because existing dialogue systems mostly rely on shallow language pattern learning and lack sufficient background knowledge. To make systems "have answers to questions", researchers proposed using external knowledge bases to enhance the information content and rationality of dialogue generation. Knowledge graphs characterize the properties and connections of things in the objective world in the form of triples (entity, relationship, entity). Integrating them into the dialogue generation process helps improve the logical rigor of responses. More recent approaches have focused on leveraging larger, more comprehensive knowledge graphs to provide a broader range of information for dialogue systems. Compared with structured knowledge graphs, unstructured textual knowledge (such as entries and news) contains richer information and is easier to obtain [6].

Human-machine dialogue is mostly a multi-turn interactive process. Contextual information is crucial for grasping the dialogue context and generating coherent responses. Traditional seq2seq models treat each round of dialogue independently, ignoring the dependencies between contexts, making it difficult to handle problems such as pronoun disambiguation and reference resolution. In recent years, researchers have proposed various context-aware dialogue models to address these challenges. One approach involves dialogue models based on context encoding. This type of model first encodes several rounds of historical dialogue into continuous vector representations and then uses them as additional input for the current dialogue step to capture contextual semantics [7]. By maintaining a memory of previous exchanges, these models can generate more coherent and contextually appropriate responses. Another approach utilizes dialogue models based on graph structures. To better characterize the structural relationships of multi-turn dialogues, some work proposes using graph neural networks (GNN) to model contexts. For instance, Chen et al. introduced a graph-structured neural conversation model that represents dialogue history as a graph, where nodes correspond to utterances and edges capture the temporal and semantic relationships between them. This approach allows the model to capture long-range dependencies and complex interactions within the dialogue history, leading to more coherent and contextually appropriate responses [8]. These models represent dialogue history as a graph, where nodes represent utterances and edges represent relationships between them. This structure allows the model to capture longrange dependencies and complex interactions within the dialogue history.

3. Research on Emotional Intelligence

3.1 Emotion Recognition

Emotion recognition is the foundation of emotional intelligence in dialogue systems. Only by accurately grasping users' emotional states can the system make appropriate emotional responses. Traditional methods mainly include dictionary-based methods and machine learning-based methods. The former matches emotional trigger words in the text through emotional dictionaries but can be limited by dictionary coverage. The latter trains classifiers to judge the emotional polarity of text but can easily overfit to a specific domain corpus. To overcome these limitations, recent research has made significant strides in two key areas.

Firstly, the field has seen a shift towards emotion analysis that considers dialogue context. Recognizing that emotions in dialogues often have contextual dependencies, researchers have developed methods to capture these nuances. Majumder et al. made a notable contribution by considering speaker dependencies between utterances and designing a speaker interaction attention mechanism. This approach captures the emotional influence of both parties in the dialogue, significantly improving the accuracy of emotion recognition. The application of graph attention networks on heterogeneous graphs has further advanced context-aware emotional representation learning [9].

Secondly, there has been a growing focus on integrating multi-modal information into emotion analysis. Researchers have recognized that in human-machine dialogues, non-textual modalities such as facial expressions and voice intonation contain valuable emotional information. To address this, some work has explored the fusion of textual, visual, and auditory features to construct multi-modal emotion analysis models [10]. This comprehensive approach allows for a more nuanced and accurate understanding of the user's emotional state, capturing subtle cues that might be missed by text-only analysis. These advancements in emotion recognition techniques represent a significant leap forward in the development of emotionally intelligent dialogue systems. By addressing the limitations of traditional methods and incorporating multi-faceted approaches, researchers are paving the way for more empathetic and contextually appropriate AI-driven dialogues. As these techniques continue to evolve, we can expect to see increasingly sophisticated and accurate emotion recognition models, further enhancing the quality of human-machine interactions.

3.2 Emotional Dialogue Generation

Traditional dialogue systems have primarily focused on topic-driven information exchange, often lacking the capability for emotional interaction. To address this limitation and enable systems to exhibit emotional resonance, researchers have explored various approaches to incorporate emotional and mood information into the dialogue generation process. These efforts can be broadly categorized into three main areas, each contributing to the development of more emotionally intelligent dialogue systems.

The first approach involves dialogue generation with explicit emotion control. This method utilizes additional emotional labels to guide the model in generating responses with specific emotional tendencies [11]. By explicitly incorporating emotional cues, these systems can produce outputs that align with desired emotional states, allowing for more controlled and targeted emotional expressions in dialogues. Building upon this foundation, researchers have also explored dialogue generation with implicit emotional interaction. Unlike explicit control methods, this approach aims to automatically learn emotional and mood expressions from dialogue data. A significant contribution in this area came from Rashkin et al., who introduced the EmpatheticDialogues dataset and proposed a retrieval model for empathetic response generation [12]. Their work modeled the dynamic evolution of dialogue emotions by incorporating situational and emotional embeddings into the transformer architecture, enabling a more nuanced and context-aware emotional response generation. The third and perhaps most promising direction is personalized emotional dialogue generation. Recognizing that different users have diverse personalities and emotional preferences, researchers have focused on incorporating personalization as a key factor in emotional dialogue systems.

In recent years, further refinements have been made in personalized emotional dialogue generation. Lin et al. proposed a MoEL (Mixture of Empathetic Listeners) model that combines multiple emotion-specific decoders [13], while Majumder et al. designed a MIME (MIMicking Emotions) framework to address the challenge of generating responses that are both emotionally appropriate and content-relevant [9]. Zhou et al. introduced an emotional embedding predictor to guide the generation of personalized responses [14]. These diverse approaches all contribute to a common goal: creating dialogue systems that can adapt to individual users' emotional needs and preferences, thereby significantly enhancing the customized experience of emotional dialogues.

3.3 Empathetic Dialogue

Empathy, an advanced form of interpersonal communication, refers to the ability to understand others' feelings from their perspective and provide emotional resonance and support. Introducing empathy into dialogue systems is crucial for creating warmer, more human-like interactions between machines and users. Research in this area has focused on three interconnected challenges: dataset construction, model development, and evaluation methods. The foundation of empathetic dialogue systems lies in high-quality datasets. Traditional task-oriented dialogue datasets fall short in capturing the rich emotional expressions and role interactions required for empathetic dialogues. Recognizing this gap, researchers have begun constructing specialized datasets. For instance, Sharma et al. collected empathetic interaction data from psychological counseling platforms, resulting in a dataset with superior dialogue quality and empathy depth compared to crowd-sourced alternatives. However, the scarcity of such high-quality empathetic dialogue data remains a significant challenge, highlighting the need for continued efforts in dataset expansion and refinement [15].

Building upon these datasets, researchers have explored various model architectures to imbue dialogue systems with empathy capabilities. A notable contribution in this area came from Rashkin et al., who pioneered the integration of emotional and situational embeddings into transformer models [12]. This approach guides the model to generate responses that are not only contextually appropriate but also empathetically attuned to the user's emotional state. As model development progresses, we can expect to see increasingly sophisticated algorithms that can better mimic human-like empathy in dialogue interactions [12]. However, the advancement of empathetic dialogue systems is hindered by the limitations of traditional evaluation metrics. Measures such as BLEU (Bilingual Evaluation Understudy) and embedding similarity, which focus primarily on literal similarity, fall short in accurately assessing the nuanced effects of empathetic dialogues. To address this, researchers have begun developing targeted empathy evaluation methods. Rashkin et al. took a different approach, designing manual evaluation metrics that consider semantic relevance, empathy level, and response fluency. While these manual evaluations provide valuable insights, their cost and time-intensiveness underscore the urgent need for automated empathy evaluation methods [16].

As research in empathetic dialogue systems progresses, we are witnessing a symbiotic relationship between dataset construction, model development, and evaluation methods. Advancements in one area often catalyze progress in others, driving the field towards more sophisticated and genuinely empathetic AI-driven conversations. The continued refinement of these three aspects will be crucial in bridging the empathy gap between humans and machines, potentially revolutionizing applications in fields such as mental health support, customer service, and personal AI assistants. As we move forward, the goal remains clear: to create dialogue systems that not only understand and respond to user inputs but do so with the warmth, understanding, and emotional intelligence that characterize truly empathetic human communication.

4. Collaborative Optimization of Language Adaptation and Emotional Intelligence

4.1 End-to-End Learning Framework

Traditional personalized dialogue and emotional dialogue

mostly adopt a pipeline approach, that is, first performing user modeling or emotion recognition, and then using the learned user/emotion representations to guide dialogue generation. This approach ignores the interaction between different modules and makes it difficult to achieve globally optimal results. In recent years, some work has proposed end-to-end learning of personalized emotional dialogue systems, integrating user understanding, emotional interaction, and dialogue generation into a unified framework to achieve joint optimization of parameters. For example, Zhou et al. proposed a Memory Augmented Dialogue System (MADS), introducing personality memory and emotion memory to model user profiles and emotional characteristics respectively, and dynamically fusing the two types of memory information during decoding [16]. Zheng et al. proposed a multi-task learning framework that jointly optimizes emotion recognition and empathetic response generation, leading to improved emotional understanding and expression capabilities in dialogue systems [17]. Song et al. introduced a VAE-based personalized emotional dialogue model that encodes users' personalities and emotional preferences in a latent space, incorporating background knowledge during decoding to generate more contextually appropriate and emotionally resonant responses [18]. Experiments show that end-toend learning helps model task dependencies and obtain higher-quality personalized emotional dialogues.

4.2 Reinforcement Learning Framework

Personalization and emotionalization are the results of long-term dialogue interactions, and it is difficult to optimize the long-term effects of dialogues based solely on supervised learning. To enable systems to continuously improve personality expressiveness and emotional interaction capabilities in multi-turn interactions with users, some work introduces reinforcement learning (RL) mechanisms to explore optimal strategies through trial and error. Li et al. proposed a reinforcement learning framework for dialogue generation based on the policy gradient method. They designed a reward function that considers both dialogue coherence and diversity, allowing the model to learn to generate responses that are not only relevant but also informative and interesting. This approach can be extended to personalized and emotional dialogues by incorporating user-specific or emotion-specific factors into the reward function. By using this method, the agent learns to adjust its generation strategy to gradually improve the quality, diversity, and personalization of responses, moving beyond the limitations of traditional maximum likelihood estimation methods [19]. Zhou et al. considered that rewards for emotional dialogues are often sparse and delayed and proposed a hierarchical RL framework [20]. The

top-level master policy is responsible for selecting emotionally rich responses from candidate responses, while the lower-level worker policy is responsible for refining responses to make them more targeted. Experiments show that HRL can more effectively balance the emotionality and relevance of dialogues. Shin et al. further used RL to optimize the empathy quality of dialogues [13]. By incorporating personalized reward functions, the agent learns to fine-tune its generation parameters, progressively enhancing the personality coherence of its responses. It is worth noting that personalized emotional dialogue methods under the RL framework mostly adopt an imitation learning paradigm, that is, learning optimal strategies by imitating human expert dialogue demonstrations. The effectiveness of this paradigm largely depends on the quality of demonstrations, so constructing high-quality personalized emotional dialogue corpora is a major challenge.

4.3 Contrastive Learning Framework

The above methods mainly focus on the generation quality of dialogues while neglecting the model's understanding ability of meta-information such as speaker roles and emotional patterns. Some recent work attempts to apply contrastive learning to the dialogue domain, improving the model's recognition and generalization abilities by comparing positive and negative samples. Su et al. proposed a matching-based personalized dialogue learning framework, with the core idea of letting the model judge whether responses match given roles. Su et al. proposed a persona-aware dialogue generation model that uses a matching-based learning framework. This approach encourages the model to learn robust personality representations by distinguishing between responses that match or mismatch given personas, thereby improving the personality consistency of generated responses [21]. Zhang et al. developed a dialogue system that considers both personality and emotion, proposing a multi-task learning framework that jointly models personality detection and emotion recognition. This approach allows the model to generate responses that are both emotionally appropriate and consistent with the speaker's personality [22]. First, positive and negative samples are constructed from personalized dialogue corpora with contextual descriptions, and then the model is made to understand the association between personality and context through contrastive tasks. The above work shows that contrastive learning provides new ideas for capturing dialogue meta-information and complements traditional supervised learning paradigms. However, current work is mostly limited to utterance-level short text matching, and how to design appropriate contrastive tasks at the dialogue level remains to be explored.

5. Conclusion

This paper reviews research progress in the field of personalized emotional dialogue in recent years. Traditional dialogue systems mainly focus on information transmission while ignoring the importance of emotional interaction in human-machine dialogue. To humanize the dialogue experience, academia has begun to focus on two major factors: personalization and emotionalization, exploring how to endow dialogue systems with emotional intelligence from multiple perspectives such as emotion recognition, emotional generation, and empathetic dialogue.

Overall, personalized emotional dialogue is still an emerging research direction, and the following points are worth noting:

1) Knowledge-enhanced personalized emotional dialogue: Current emotional dialogues are mostly limited to shallow pattern matching and lack the necessary support of background knowledge. Users' personality traits and emotional preferences are often closely related to their knowledge structures, so introducing knowledge enhancement techniques is expected to improve the knowledge and emotional expressiveness of dialogues. Future work can consider joint modeling of personality, emotion, and knowledge to explore their intrinsic connections.

2) Multi-modal personalized emotional dialogue: In addition to language interaction, multi-modal signals such as facial expressions, voice intonation, and gesture movements also contain rich personality and emotional information. Current methods mainly focus on textual data, and integrating multi-modality is expected to further improve dialogue quality. Future work needs to construct multi-modal personalized emotional dialogue datasets and develop personalized emotional dialogue models that can effectively fuse multi-modal information.

3) Proactive empathetic dialogue: Existing work mostly models empathy as a passive response to user emotions, lacking proactive caring abilities. An ideal empathetic system should be able to ask questions proactively based on context, guiding users to express their inner feelings. How to combine inquiry strategies with empathetic response generation to achieve proactive empathetic interaction is a problem worth exploring.

4) Interpretability of personalized emotional dialogue: Personalized emotional dialogue involves sensitive user profile information, so the interpretability of model decisions and adherence to ethical design are crucial. Current neural network-based methods are mostly black-box models, and interpretability needs to be strengthened. Future research can explore using causal inference, contrastive learning, and other techniques to enhance the transparency of model decision-making processes.

In conclusion, personalized emotional dialogue is key to achieving emotional resonance between humans and machines and is of great significance for alleviating the current sense of indifference in human-machine interaction and improving user experience. Although current research is still in its early stages, with the rapid development of emotional computing, knowledge graphs, causal reasoning, and other fields, this direction is expected to achieve greater breakthroughs, paving the way for the engineering implementation of intelligent dialogue systems.

References

[1] Global smart speaker market Q4 2020 and full year 2020. Canalys, 2021. Accessed on July 1, 2024, from: https://www. canalys.com/newsroom/global-smart-speaker-market-q4-2020

[2] Conversational AI Market – Global Forecast to 2025. Markets and Markets, 2020. Accessed on July 1, 2024, from: https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/ conversational-ai-market-49043506.html

[3] Li, J., Galley, M., Brockett, C., et al. A persona-based neural conversation model. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2016, 54(1): 994-1003.

[4] Qian, Q., Huang, M., Zhao, H., et al. Assigning personality/ profile to a chatting machine for coherent conversation generation. Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2018: 4279-4285.

[5] Jiang, X., Li, Z., Zhang, B., et al. Towards personalized dialogues with large language models. Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, 2021, 1: 4203-4215.

[6] Ghazvininejad, M., Brockett, C., Chang, M. W., et al. A knowledge-grounded neural conversation model. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2018, 32(1).

[7] Serban, I. V., Sordoni, A., Lowe, R., et al. A hierarchical latent variable encoder-decoder model for generating dialogues. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2017, 31(1).

[8] Chen, X., Xu, J., Xu, B. A graph-structured neural conversation model. Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, 2019: 2511-2514.

[9] Majumder, N., Poria, S., Hazarika, D., et al. Dialoguernn: An attentive rnn for emotion detection in conversations. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2019, 33(1): 6818-6825.

[10] Poria, S., Cambria, E., Bajpai, R., et al. A review of affective computing: From unimodal analysis to multimodal fusion. Information Fusion, 2017, 37: 98-125.

[11] Huang, C., Zaiane, O., Trabelsi, A., et al. Automatic dialogue generation with expressed emotions. Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, 2018, 2: 49-54.

[12] Rashkin, H., Smith, E. M., Li, M., et al. Towards empathetic open-domain conversation models: A new benchmark and dataset. Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019: 5370-5381.

[13] Lin, Z., Madotto, A., Shin, J., et al. MoEL: Mixture of empathetic listeners. Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), 2019: 121-132.

[14] Zhou, X., Wang, W. Y. MojiTalk: Generating emotional responses at scale. Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), 2018: 1128-1137.

[15] Sharma, A., Joshi, N., Agrawal, P., et al. Empathetic dialogue generation via counseling conversations. Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), 2020: 5026-5037.

[16] Zhou, H., Huang, M., Zhang, T., et al. Emotional chatting machine: Emotional conversation generation with internal and external memory. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2018, 32(1).

[17] Zheng, H., Chen, Z., Huang, X., et al. A multi-task learning framework for empathetic response generation. Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021, 2021: 2073-2084.

[18] Song, H., Zhang, W. N., Cui, Y., et al. Exploiting persona information for diverse generation of conversational responses. Proceedings of the 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2019: 5190-5196.

[19] Li, J., Monroe, W., Ritter, A., et al. Deep Reinforcement Learning for Dialogue Generation. Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, 2016: 1192-1202.

[20] Zhou, L., Gao, J., Li, D., et al. The design and implementation of Xiaoice, an empathetic social Chabot. Computational Linguistics, 2020, 46(1): 53-93.

[21] Su, Y., Shen, X., Zhao, Y., et al. Dialogue generation with persona-aware memory selection. Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021, 2021: 3841-3854.

[22] Zhang, Y., Sun, S., Galley, M., et al. DIALOGPT: Largescale generative pre-training for conversational response generation. Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations, 2020: 270-278.