Dean&Francis

ISSN 2959-6149

The Reason for and against Axioms of Choice

Qijie Wu*

School of HSD Foreign Language School (Lance Academy), Zhejiang, China

*Corresponding author: killqs@outlook.com

Abstract:

In many branches of mathematics, especially set theory, algebra, and topology, the Axiom of Choice (AC) is crucial and helps to enable the existence of choice functions for any arbitrary collection of non-empty sets without explicit construction. Examining the arguments both for and against the Axiom of Choice, this work addresses the paradoxes and challenges it presents, including the Banach-Tarski dilemma and problems in measure theory, so furthering mathematical theory. Although AC is commended for its theoretical contributions—especially in terms of enabling work with abstract and infinite sets—it is attacked by constructivist mathematicians who stress the need of specific approaches of proof. The study comes to the conclusion that, despite its non-constructive character and the disputes it causes, the Axiom of Choice stays a vital instrument in modern mathematics, so extending the limits of theoretical investigation and application. Its application should, however, be carefully considered in order to balance the needs for mathematical rigour and practical relevance with the advantages of abstraction.

Keywords: Axioms of choice; set theory; logic.

1. Introduction

Whether for society or individuals, making choices is fundamental and essential in a considerable number of aspects, like moral, political, and economic choices. Nowadays, the status of choice has become more and more significant. From consumer markets to personal identity, freedom of choice is considered as a fundamental concept guiding all parts of life. However, in school the ability to pick one's own route could aggravate inequality, in health care too many options might result in decision fatigue. In 1904 Ernst Zermelo developed Choice (abbreviated as AC throughout this article) in terms of what he called coverings [1]. Beginning with an arbitrary set M, he denotes an arbitrary nonempty subset of M using the symbol M′, the collection of which he denotes by M. AC also has several applications related to some logic theories, like the Well-Ordering Theorem pointed out by Zermelo, which says every set can be well-ordered, and also the The Multiplicative Axiom pointed out by Russell, which expresses the product of any set of non-zero cardinal numbers is non-zero. The paper investigates arguments for and against axiom of choice to help one understand its complexity. On the one hand, the axiom of choice underlies and relates to a great spectrum of ideas and is fundamental in the philosophy of logic and mathematics. Conversely,

ISSN 2959-6149

too many hoices can lead to contradictions and complicate events. So, a logical application of the axiom of choice can logically address such issues. By means of an analysis of several points of view, this study aims to investigate the function of the Axiom of Choice in contemporary society and the importance of its theories, enabling one to finally wonder whether the Axiom of Choice always results better. thus, from my perspective, the axiom of choice is always right [2].

2. Current Situation

Whether for society or individuals, making choices is fundamental and essential in a considerable number of aspects, like moral, political, and economic choices. Nowadays, the status of choice has become more and more significant. From consumer markets to personal identity, freedom of choice is considered as a fundamental concept guiding all parts of life. However, in school the ability to pick one's own route could aggravate inequality, in health care too many options might result in decision fatigue. In 1904 Ernst Zermelo developed Choice (abbreviated as AC throughout this article) in terms of what he called coverings [1]. Beginning with an arbitrary set M, he denotes an arbitrary nonempty subset of M using the symbol M′, the collection of which he denotes by M. AC also has several applications related to some logic theories, like the Well-Ordering Theorem pointed out by Zermelo, which says every set can be well-ordered, and also the The Multiplicative Axiom pointed out by Russell, which expresses the product of any set of non-zero cardinal numbers is non-zero. The paper investigates arguments for and against axiom of choice to help one understand its complexity. On the one hand, the axiom of choice underlies and relates to a great spectrum of ideas and is fundamental in the philosophy of logic and mathematics. Conversely, too many hoices can lead to contradictions and complicate events. So, a logical application of the axiom of choice can logically address such issues. By means of an analysis of several points of view, this study aims to investigate the function of the Axiom of Choice in contemporary society and the importance of its theories, enabling one to finally wonder whether the Axiom of Choice always results better. thus, from my perspective, the axiom of choice is always right [1].

3. Personal Idea

Though the Axiom of Choice in the domain of mathematics and logic is hotly debated, I am certain that this axiom has an indispensable importance in the building of contemporary mathematical theory. Not only a theoretical mathematical idea, the Axiom of Choice is a basic pillar supporting many applications in many disciplines and gives mathematicians a strong weapon to address difficult issues that could otherwise be insurmountable.

Fundamentally, the Axiom of Choice provides a logical assurance that it is feasible to choose precisely one element from every set for any collection of nonempty sets. Particularly in higher-level mathematics, this apparently basic idea has enormous ramifications. In fields including algebra, topology, and analysis, proving important theorems and propositions depends on the application of AC most of the times. Many of these arguments would become notably more difficult, if not completely impossible without the Axiom of Choice. The axiom lets mathematicians expand their thinking and work inside more complex and abstract mathematical models. For example, mathematicians apply some mathematical theories to artificial intelligence models like chatgpt, kimi to help them process some program to obtain some data or function, which is effective [3].

Apart from its intellectual significance, the Axiom of Choice is also quite important for solving actual problems. As a language, mathematics expresses human ideas as well as helps to explain natural events. Essential in this language, the Axiom of Choice helps to build selection functions even in the lack of a clear or practical approach. When working with infinite sets or sets without a natural order, the Axiom of Choice enables mathematicians to speculate and build functions that would otherwise be impossible to specify. This skill is extremely important.

Although the Axiom of Choice has produced some contradictions, the most well-known one being the Banach-Tarski paradox, this should not be seen as a shortcoming of the axiom itself. Instead, the paradox draws attention to the rich and complex character of mathematical reality, which frequently runs counter to human sense. For example, the Banach-Tarski paradox shows how a sphere might be split into a finite number of pieces and then rebuilt into two spheres exactly the same in size as the original. This result questions our fundamental knowledge of physical reality but also emphasizes the special ability of the Axiom of Choice in pushing the boundaries of mathematical possibility.

Furthermore, I contend that although the Axiom of Choice is attacked for its non-constructive character since it lets one confirm the existence of some functions without offering a clear way to create them. In many branches of mathematics, particularly when addressing extremely abstract issues, the freedom given by the Axiom of Choice helps one to explore and grasp more deeply. This quality of AC enables mathematicians to investigate more complicated and abstract structures, which would be inaccessible using only constructive techniques, and opens new directions for mathematical inquiry [4].

In summary, even although the Axiom of Choice could generate discussion and provide certain difficulties, its main importance in mathematical theory and application cannot be emphasized. I am persuaded that the Axiom of Choice is not just accurate but also a fundamental pillar of mathematical development and creativity. The Axiom of Choice gives mathematicians a strong instrument in the face of difficult issues and obstacles, therefore facilitating the ongoing investigation of the uncharted mathematical ground. Thus, I argue that the Axiom of Choice is always correct and that it is still a fundamental component for the development of mathematical research [1].

4. Counterargument

Although the Axiom of Choice has been praised for its vital contribution to advancing mathematical theory and allowing the resolution of difficult problems, it is crucial to scrutinize the objections and challenges it presents, especially in the light of its non-constructive character and the paradoxes it generates.

First, the Axiom of Choice's non-constructive nature begs serious questions. Against the Axiom of Choice, constructive mathematics—which depends on explicit constructions to show the existence of mathematical objects—stands. By depending on AC, mathematicians may declare the existence of some functions without offering a specific way to create them. In the framework of mathematical logic and set theory, the Axiom of Choice (AC) and functions are connected especially when addressing hyperarithmetic functions and their features. According to the Axiom of Choice, there is a choice function that chooses an element from every set for any collection of nonempty sets. In many spheres of mathematics, particularly the theory of functions, this postulate is fundamental. In the context of hyperarithmetic functions—a generalisation of recursive functions—for instance, the Axiom of Choice is used to prove characteristics regarding the existence of some kinds of functions. Transfinite recursion up to a countable ordinal defines hyperarithmetic functions; the Axiom of Choice lets one build these functions in some models of arithmetic [5]. Although strong, this method compromises the idea as I mentioned fundamental to some mathematical systems of thinking, such constructivism and intuitionism. From this angle, the Axiom of Choice might be considered as mathematically unsound since it allows the existence of abstract entities without the need of concrete, methodologically exact creations. This divergence from constructive approaches could produce intellectually pleasing solutions devoid of clear practical relevance or usefulness [6].

The Banach-Tarski paradox is the most well-known of the several paradoxes inherent in the Axiom of Choice. This paradox questions our basic conception of physical reality since it indicates that a sphere can be split into a finite number of parts and rebuilt into two spheres exactly the same in size as the original. Such outcomes seem to contradict common sense and physical intuition, while they are theoretically legitimate under AC. They show a discrepancy between mathematical theory and physical reality, implying that although the Axiom of Choice is helpful in some abstract mathematical situations, applied to real-world events it may result in confusing or even ridiculous conclusions [7].

Moreover, the use of the Axiom of Choice in measure theory generates other difficulties. It results in the presence of non-measurable sets, therefore undermining the foundations of measure theory—a vital field of mathematics addressing the quantification of size, length, and probability. The development of consistent and coherent measure theory is complicated by the construction of non-measurable sets by AC, so casting doubt on the applicability of the axiom in fields of mathematics where consistency and definability are most important [8].

Furthermore, given the larger consequences for mathematical rigor and clarity, one can argue that the Axiom of Choice's ability to enable the choice of items from arbitrary collections of non-empty sets presents issues. Although AC lets one build functions in very abstract or complicated environments, it does so at the price of offering a clear, logical approach to choice. This trade-off between generality and constructiveness exposes a possible flaw in the Axiom of Choice: it gives the existence of solutions top priority over the clarity and constructiveness of those solutions, therefore possibly incompatible with the objectives of some mathematical fields [9].

A basic tenet of set theory, the Axiom of Choice holds that each collection of non-empty sets has a choice function. The paper probably investigates the consequences and uses of this axiom in finite mathematical structures when the involved sets are finite, therefore addressing subjects like the choice of elements from finite sets or the effect of AC [10].

In summary, the Axiom of Choice has certain major disadvantages even if it surely helps to progress mathematical theory and solve abstract issues. Its non-constructive character, the contradictions it creates, and its consequences for measure theory and mathematical rigor point to the need of caution in using the Axiom of Choice even if it is really helpful. Mathematicians have to carefully consider the advantages of AC against its possibility to generate theoretically fascinating but practically troublesome or

ISSN 2959-6149

paradoxical solutions. Therefore, even if AC offers advantages, it is not a perfect truth and should be regarded in the larger framework of mathematical research and application.

5. Conclusion

Finally, from set theory to algebra, topology, and beyond, the Axiom of Choice (AC) is still a pillar of current mathematical theory affecting many different mathematical fields. Its capacity to enable the existence of choice functions without explicit construction lets mathematicians extend their thinking into more abstract domains, usually leading to innovative discoveries and more in-depth theoretical understanding. But this very non-constructive character also poses difficulties, casting philosophical queries like the daily applications in mathematics and creating paradoxes, such the Banach-Tarski conundrum, that seem to undermine intuitive physical reality.

Critics contend that the Axiom of Choice compromises the basis of measure theory and constructive mathematics and generates paradoxes. These criticisms highlight the requirement of cautious application in which the freedom it offers balances the rigor needed in mathematical proof and practical applicability. Still, its great influence on developing mathematical ideas cannot be emphasized, hence it is a priceless instrument for mathematicians.

In the end, despite its abstract and perhaps contradictory consequences, the Axiom of Choice shapes modern mathematics unquestionably even if it may not be accepted in every mathematical framework. Emulating the dynamic and changing character of mathematical investigation, it is both a potent enabler of mathematical discovery and a subject of continuous philosophical discussion. Therefore, the Axiom of Choice should be seen not only as a mathematical construct but also as a vital engine of intellectual inquiry and creativity in mathematics, even if its limits and difficulties should be acknowledged.

References

[1] Bell, J. L. The axiom of choice. https://seop.illc.uva.nl/entries/axiom-choice/, 2008.
[2] Freyd, P. The axiom of choice. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 1980, 19:103-125.
[3] Howard, P., Rubin, J. E. Consequences of the Axiom of Choice. American Mathematical Society, 1998.
[4] Truss, J. Finite axioms of choice. Annals of Mathematical Logic, 1973, 6(2): 147-176.
[5] Kreisel, G. The axiom of choice and the class of hyperarithmetic functions. Indagationes Mathematicae, 1962, 24 (10): 307-319.
[6] Williamson, T. Criteria of identity and the axiom of choice. The Journal of Philosophy, 1986, 83(7), 380-394.
[7] Su, F. E. The Banach-Tarski Paradox. Cambridge: Harvard University, 1990.
[8] Console, M., Hofer, M., Libkin, L. Reasoning about measures of unmeasurable sets. 17th International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning, 2020, 1:263-272.
[9] Herrlich, H. Axiom of choice. Berlin: Springer, 2006.
[10] Mostowski, A. (1945). Axiom of choice for finite sets. Fundamenta mathematicae, 33(1), 137-168.