ISSN 2959-6157

An Introduction to Lagrange Multipliers: Theory and Applications in Economics

Yuheng Zhai

Corpus Christi Catholic Secondary School, Burlington, Ontario, Canada
*Corresponding author: yuheng.zhai.473@students.hcdsb.org

Abstract:

The purpose of this paper is to explore the basic applications of the Lagrange multiplier method in economics and to help beginners build their understanding of this mathematical tool. Tesla Inc. is used as a case study to examine how it maximizes profits by optimizing pricing and marketing expenses under given market conditions and constraints. The context of the study includes the importance of maintaining Tesla's leadership position as the world's leading electric car manufacturer in a competitive market. In this paper, the Lagrange multiplier method is used to incorporate specific market share and marketing expense constraints into the profit function and to find the extreme values by constructing the Lagrange function and solving for the derivatives. However, the results of the study show that the solutions obtained are extreme minima rather than the expected maxima. This result highlights the possible limitations of the Lagrange multiplier method in economic optimization problems. Nonetheless, this paper provides valuable lessons for understanding and applying the Lagrange multiplier method and points the way for further research on the application of optimization methods in economic decision making.

Keywords: Lagrange Multiplier Method; Constraints; Optimization; Economics; Derivative.

1. Introduction

The Lagrange multiplier method is a basic theory in higher mathematics, and it is an important method to solve optimization problems with fixed constraints. The core idea is to construct a Lagrange function by introducing constraints into the objective function, and then to find the optimal answer to the problem through the extremum of the function by means of differentiation, etc. In economics, the Lagrange multiplier method is used to solve optimization problems with fixed constraints. In economics, the Lagrange multiplier method is of great practical significance. First of all, the Lagrange multiplier method is the basic knowledge of optimization problems for beginners in higher mathematics. Beginners can construct and deepen their understanding of optimization problems through its ingenious solutions and core ideas and lay a solid foundation for learning mathematical optimization theory and optimal solution problems in economics in the future. Secondly, the Lagrange multiplier method is widely used in all aspects of economics. From the perspective of producers, they need to minimize cost or maximize profit with limited resources, and for consumers, they need to consider maximizing utility with limited budget. In addition, the Lagrange multiplier method can be used to study how markets regulate supply to reach equilibrium and has many important applications to game theory and public economics. Therefore, an in-depth study of the Lagrange multiplier method not only helps to improve theoretical knowledge, but also helps to solve the real economic problems, so that the problems in daily life can be answered. This article will take beginners to understand the Lagrange multiplier method and its basic application process through the analysis of a specific case, to help beginners to establish the most basic knowledge and understanding of the Lagrange multiplier method.

2. Case Description

2.1 Background

Tesla is the world's leading manufacturer of electric cars and has a significant position in the electric car market, and even in the entire global automobile market. As a benchmark brand in the industry, Tesla is a target for other electric car companies to catch up with, which shows the strength of Tesla, but also creates a challenge for Tesla to maintain its current leading position in the highly competitive electric car industry. One essential aspect of this is profit maximization. Profit maximization is the process of analyzing a firm's production and cost functions to find the level of production that will maximize profits under given market conditions and hypothetical scenarios [1]. In order to achieve this goal, Tesla Inc. needs to consider the impact of many aspects, such as pricing strategy, allocation of marketing expenses, etc., as well as ensuring that market share and profitability are within a manageable and sustainable range.

In this case, the Lagrange multiplier method will be used to analyze how Tesla can maximize profits by optimizing the relationship between price and marketing expenses given a market demand model and a limited set of assumptions.

2.2 Case Assumptions

In order to simplify the problem so that it is easy to make reasonable analyses and calculations, we make the following assumptions:

2.2.1 Assumption 1

Assume that the market is in equilibrium between supply and demand. In this state, the supply of a good or service and its demand are exactly equal, which makes the goods available to all consumers who are willing to buy at that price [2]. In this case, it is assumed that no matter how Tesla adjusts their price, the number of vehicles their company produces is equal to the number demanded by the market.

2.2.2 Assumption 2

The market demand function in this case is assumed to be a linear model, and the function is affected by both the pricing of the goods and the marketing expenses in the equation (1) [3]:

$$Q(p,M) = a - bp + kM \tag{1}$$

Where: Q denotes the total number of vehicles demanded by the market in a year (and also the number of goods produced under the *Assumption 1*); p denotes the pricing of each vehicle; M denotes the marketing cost consumed by each vehicle; a denotes the potential maximum market demand in a year, which is assumed to be 1,500,000 in this case; and b denotes the price sensitivity, i.e., the extent to which a change in the price affects the quantity demanded or supplied [2]. In this case, b shows the degree of influence [2], which is assumed to be 10 in this case, i.e., for every $1 increase in price, the number of units demanded decreases by 10 units; k denotes the influence of marketing expenses on demand, which is assumed to be 0.001 in this case, i.e., for every $1 increase in marketing expenses, the number of units demanded increases by 0.001 units.

2.2.3 Assumption 3

Assume that the average production cost C per vehicle is $25,000, the average labor cost L per vehicle is $5,000, the average environmental cost E per vehicle is $1,000, and the average supply chain cost S per vehicle is $3,000 [4]. Assume that Tesla's fixed operating cost FC for a year is $5,000,000,000 [4], including all costs that do not change, such as headquarters operating costs, research, and development experiments, and so on.

2.2.4 Assumption 4

Assume that Tesla's desired minimum market share is 10% and assume that the total electric vehicle market T is 10,000,000 units.

2.2.5 Assumption 5

Assume that Tesla's maximum budget for selling expenses is $1,000,000,000.

2.2.6 Assumption 6

Assume that Tesla's desired minimum profit margin is 10%.

2.2.7 Assumption 7

Assume that Tesla, Inc.'s total profit function, P, consists of the difference between total sales revenue and total cost of spend in the the equation (2) [5]:

$$P(p,M) = pQ(p,M) - (C+L+E+S+M)Q(p,M) - FC \tag{2}$$

2.3 Constraints

After considering the six basic assumptions mentioned above, it is clear that the constraints of *Assumption 4*, *Assumption 5* and *Assumption 6* for the minimum market share, the maximum budget for selling expenses, and the minimum profit margin can be regarded as two constraints for calculating the extremes of the Tesla's total profit function, i.e., they are written as the equation (3) to equation (5):

$$MQ(p,M) < 1{,}000{,}000{,}000 \tag{3}$$

$$Q(p,M) < 10\%T \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{P(p,M)}{pQ(p,M)} \times 100\% \geqslant 10\% \tag{5}$$

3. Analysis on the Problem

3.1 Theoretical Basis

3.1.1 The basic principle of Lagrange multiplier method

The Lagrange multiplier method is a commonly used mathematical tool, which is often used to solve optimization mathematical problems with fixed constraints. Its core and most important step and idea is to convert an optimization problem with constraints into an optimization problem without constraints by introducing Lagrange multipliers into the objective function. In other words, the problem of solving the extremes of an objective function constrained by several additional functions is converted into solving the extremes of a single Lagrange function without constraints [6, 7].

3.1.2 Mathematical form of Lagrange multiplier method:

Suppose that our objective function is $f(x)$ and that the function is subject to one or more equality conditions $g_i(x)?=0$ or one or more inequality conditions $h_j(x)?\leqslant ?0$, where $x?=(x_1,?x_2,?\ldots,?x_n)$ is a vector of variables. At this point, the Lagrange function can be written as the equation (6) to (8) [8]:

$$\mathcal{L}(x,\lambda,\mu)= f(x)+\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^{m}\lambda_i g_i(x)+\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{p}\mu_j h_j(x) \quad (6)$$

where:

(i) $f(x)$ is the objective function with respect to all independent variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$.

(ii) λ_i is the Lagrange multiplier associated with the equation constraint:

$$g_i(x)=0, i=1,2,\ldots,m \quad (7)$$

(iii) μ_j is the Lagrange multiplier associated with the equation constraint:

$$h_j(x)\leqslant 0,\ j=1,2,\ldots,p \quad (8)$$

(iv) $g_i(x)$ and $h_j(x)$ are constraint functions involving multiple variables, respectively.

3.1.3 Lagrange multipliers and their significance

The λ_i and μ_j in the above equation (6) are called Lagrange multipliers, and the introduction of these multipliers helps us to incorporate the constraints into the objective function in order to derive the Lagrange function, so that we can use unconstrained optimization to solve the problem with the optimal answer, which is the most crucial part of the whole Lagrange multiplier method of problem solving [9].

Lagrange multipliers are also economically significant. In economics, the Lagrange multiplier is often interpreted as the "shadow price", i.e., the extent to which a slight change in the constraints affects the objective function, or the marginal value of the resulting change in the objective function, while all other conditions remain unchanged [10].

3.1.4 KKT conditions

The Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) condition is a necessary condition in the application of the Lagrange multiplier method, which applies to a wider range of optimization problems and is a good judge of whether the Lagrange multiplier method is being used appropriately, whether it is a constraint on an inequality or not [11, 12]. Specifically, it includes:

(i) Feasibility condition: the resulting solution x^* must satisfy all the constraints after substitution of.

$$g_i(x^*)?=0,\quad h_j(x^*)\leqslant 0 \quad (9)$$

(ii) Gradient condition: the gradients of the objective function (6) and all constraint functions the equation (9) must be linearly correlated, i.e., the partial derivatives of the Lagrange function with respect to each independent variable x_k are 0 at the solution x^* in the equation (10):

$$\frac{d}{d(x_k)}\mathcal{L}(x^*,\lambda^*,\mu^*)=\frac{d}{d(x_k)}f(x^*)+ \sum\nolimits_{i=1}^{m}\lambda_i\frac{d}{d(x_k)}g_i(x^*)+ \sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{p}\mu_j\frac{d}{d(x_k)}h_j(x^*)=0 \quad (10)$$

For all $k=1,2,\ldots,n$.

(iii) Complementary relaxation condition: the product of the multipliers of the inequality constraints and the values of the corresponding constraint functions must be zero, i.e., for each inequality constraint, it must be satisfied in the equation (11):

$$\mu_j h_j(x^*)=0,\ j=1,2,\ldots,p \quad (11)$$

This also implies at the same time that if some constraint $h_j(x)$ holds strictly at the optimal solution x^* (i.e., $h_j(x^*)<0$), then the corresponding Lagrange multiplier μ_j^* must be zero.

(iv) Pairwise feasibility condition: all Lagrange multipliers must be non-negative in the equation (12) to (13):

$$\lambda_i^*\geqslant 0, i=1,2,\ldots,m \quad (12)$$

$$\mu_j^*\geqslant 0,\ j=1,2,\ldots,p \quad (13)$$

3.2 Application Analysis

In the case of Tesla Inc. our ultimate goal is to find the extreme case of profit $P(p,M)$ given the constraints by optimizing the price p and the average marketing cost M per vehicle in the equation (14) and equation (15).

3.2.1 Construct the Lagrange function

$$\mathcal{L}(p,M,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)= pQ(p,M)-(C+L+E+S+M)$$
$$Q(p,M)-FC+\lambda_1(MQ(p,M)-1{,}000{,}000{,}000)$$

$$+\lambda_2\left(10\%T-Q\left(p,M\right)\right)+\lambda_3\left(0.1-\frac{P\left(p,M\right)}{pQ\left(p,M\right)}\right)?=0 \quad (14)$$

i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}\left(p,M,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3\right)=-10p^2-0.001M^2+10.001Mp+$$
$$1{,}840{,}000p-1{,}500{,}034M-56{,}000{,}000{,}000+\lambda_1$$
$$\left(MQ\left(p,M\right)-1{,}000{,}000{,}000\right)+\lambda_2\left(10\%T-Q\left(p,M\right)\right)+$$
$$\lambda_3\left(0.1-\frac{P\left(p,M\right)}{pQ\left(p,M\right)}\right)=0 \quad (15)$$

3.2.2 Satisfaction to the complementary condition

$$\lambda_1\left(MQ\left(p,M\right)-1{,}000{,}000{,}000\right)=0 \quad (16)$$

$$\lambda_2\left(10\%T-Q\left(p,M\right)\right)=0 \quad (17)$$

$$\lambda_3\left(0.1-\frac{P\left(p,M\right)}{pQ\left(p,M\right)}\right)=0 \quad (18)$$

According to (iii) of the *3.1.4 KKT condition*, it is easy to obtain in the equation (16), (17) and (18) that $\lambda_1=\lambda_2=\lambda_3=0$ since neither constraint in this case has an equal sign.

3.2.3 Solve by taking partial derivatives and setting them to zero

Then, we have the equation (19) to (22):

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dp}=0 \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dM}=0 \quad (20)$$

i.e.

$$-20p+1{,}840{,}000+10.001M=0 \quad (21)$$

$$-0.002M+10.001p-1{,}500{,}034=0 \quad (22)$$

Therefore, results in the equation (23) and (24):

$$p\approx 150{,}011.59 \quad (23)$$

$$M\approx 116{,}011.59 \quad (24)$$

4. Suggestions

4.1 Solutions

During our analysis, we discovered that the solution results in a very small value of the function. Specifically, when the price p is set to $\$150{,}011.45$ and the marketing expense M is set to $\$116{,}011.59$, Tesla Inc.'s profit is at its minimum. This indicates that the current combination of price and marketing expenses could lead Tesla to face significant financial shortfalls, potentially jeopardizing its position and core competency in the electric vehicle industry. By identifying this combination of price and marketing expenses that results in the lowest profit, Tesla can adjust these variables to more reasonable levels to avoid such outcomes. Ongoing market research and experimentation will further help Tesla minimize unnecessary losses and maintain its competitive edge.

4.2 Policy and Strategy Recommendations

4.2.1 Policies

In response to this finding, policy makers should adopt the following specific policies and strategies:

(i) Improve resource management strategies: by better managing resources and reducing fixed and variable costs, Tesla can reduce unnecessary expenditures and thus improve overall profitability.

(ii) Introducing new production technologies: New technologies and automated production means will be used to reduce unit costs, enabling higher profits to be maintained at different price levels.

4.2.2 Implementation path and steps

(i) Market research and data updating firstly, conduct in-depth research and analysis on market demand and cost function to obtain more accurate data.

(ii) Technology investment and upgrading: Introduce advanced production technologies to improve production efficiency and reduce unit costs.

(iii)Experimental adjustment strategy: make small adjustments to prices and marketing expenses in selected markets and observe their actual impact on profits.

4.3 Potential Challenges

There are a number of challenges that may be encountered when implementing these recommendations, such as uncertainty in the supply chain, which may lead to fluctuations in production costs, affecting the expected profitability. Also, uncertainty in market demand may lead to actual profits not matching expectations, especially with the new pricing strategy. Finally, it is important to note that the assumptions in the model may be too idealistic and fail to adequately reflect the complexity of the market, and more factors should still be taken into account in real situations. Common solutions to these challenges are:

(i) Developing back-up options: To cope with resource supply uncertainty, a back-up supply chain can be established to ensure that production is not disrupted.

(ii) Enhancing risk management: Through risk management tools and techniques, strategies can be adjusted in a timely manner to reduce the negative impact of market fluctuations.

(iii) Dynamically adjusted models: Continuously update and adjust economic models based on the latest market data and trends to ensure that they reflect real market conditions.

4.4 Future Research

Future research should focus on the application of the

Lagrange multiplier method in other economic decision-making problems, the improvement of the computational efficiency of the method, and the extension and validation of the model. Exploring the application of the Lagrange multiplier method in different economic environments and industries, especially in markets with high uncertainty, can provide firms with a more reliable basis for decision making. In addition, research into more efficient algorithms can solve complex optimization problems faster, especially in multi-constraint, multi-variable scenarios. Future research should also include extensions to more complex market demand and cost functions and validation of models under different market conditions. These studies will not only enhance the usefulness of the Lagrange multiplier method, but also help companies to better cope with market challenges and enhance their competitiveness.

5. Conclusion

The analysis of the Tesla case in this paper shows that under the given market conditions and constraints, optimization using the Lagrange multiplier method can lead to a minimum value solution. The many assumptions mentioned in this paper provide a solid foundation for the subsequent treatment of constructing Lagrange functions. It is the process of simplifying complex economic models of real situations into more understandable mathematical models. Such a process may ignore a lot of economic factors, resulting in results that are not particularly accurate, but by simplifying, we can get the relationship between quantities more efficiently and capture the key parts of the problem. Although the Lagrange multiplier method itself is a powerful mathematical tool to effectively incorporate constraints into the objective function, the solution obtained may not always be the maximum required in real economics, and whether it is a maximum or a minimum is still determined by the second-order derivatives and the decision of whether the Hessian matrix is positively or negatively determined. The results obtained in this paper through the optimization analysis of pricing and marketing expenditures, although limited, still provide valuable insights into economic decision making, particularly in the areas of resource management and marketing strategy. In this context, modification of the model assumptions or incorporation of the use of other mathematical knowledge can be considered to further find solutions that best meet the real situation.

The main contribution of this paper is to provide a basic type of explanation and analysis of the basic application of the Lagrange multiplier method in economics to help beginners build their understanding of the method. This research fills the knowledge gap that beginners may encounter in understanding and applying the Lagrange multiplier method, especially in economic problems with complex constraints allowing beginners to face complex constrained optimization problems. This article provides a reference case for future researchers to show how the Lagrange multiplier method can be applied to real economic problems, although the results of the method may require further analysis and validation.

In future research, it is recommended that researchers further investigate the practical applications and higher-order extensions of the Lagrange multiplier method, especially when dealing with complex economic models. Future research should focus on how to effectively identify and manage these different mathematical complexities and cope with the processing of complex models, while exploring the combined application of other optimization methods to improve the accuracy and applicability of the solutions. In addition, applications of the Lagrange multiplier method in other areas of economics could be considered to increase its theoretical and practical value.

References

[1] Varian, Hal R., and Hal R. Varian. Microeconomic Analysis. Vol. 3. New York: Norton, 1992.

[2] Marshall, Alfred. Principles of economics: unabridged eighth edition. Cosimo, Inc., 2009.

[3] Huang, Jian; Leng, Mingming; Parlar. Demand Functions in Decision Modeling: A Comprehensive Survey and Research Directions. Decision Sciences, vol. 44(3), pp:557-603, 2013.

[4] Tesla, Inc. 2023 Annual Report. 2023. Accessed August 8, 2024. https://ir.tesla.com/_flysystem/s3/sec/000162828024002390/tsla-20231231-gen.pdf(accessed August 10th, 2024)

[5] Whinston, Michael D., and Jerry R. Green. Microeconomic theory. Oxford University Press. Caps, 3(5), 15. 1995.

[6] Palepu, K. G., Healy, P. M., Wright, S., Bradbury, M., & Coulton, J. . Business analysis and valuation: Using financial statements. Cengage AU, 2020.

[7] Trench, William F. The Method of Lagrange Multipliers. Research Gate, Book, pp:1-31, 2012.

[8] He, Jihuan. A Tutorial and Heuristic Review on Lagrange Multiplier for Optimal Problems. Nonlinear Science Letter A, vol. 8(2), pp:121-148, 2017.

[9] Luenberger, David G., and Yinyu Ye. Linear and nonlinear programming. Vol. 2. Reading, MA: Addison-wesley, 1984.

[10] John V. Baxley; John C. Moorhouse. Lagrange Multiplier Problems in Economics. The American Mathematical Monthly, vol. 91(7), pp:404-412, 1984.

[11] Kuhn, Harold.; Tucker, Albert. Nonlinear programming. Proceedings of 2nd Berkeley Symposium. Berkeley: University of California Press. pp. 481–492, 1951.

[12] Hoy Michael; Livernois John; McKenna Chris; Rees Ray; Stengos Thanasis. Mathematics for Economics. MIT Press. Massachusetts US, pp: 503-526, 2022.